

appear, the court shall have an appearance entered for those who fail to appear, and the same proceedings shall be pursued as if all had appeared.

1888, art. 75, sec. 132. 1860, art. 75, sec. 87. 1801, ch 74, secs. 11, 12.
1888, ch. 456. 1898, ch. 255.

144. No person shall be sued out of the county in which he resides until the sheriff or coroner of the county in which he resides shall have returned a *non est* on a summons issued in such county; provided, that nothing herein contained shall apply to any person who shall abscond from justice in the county where he lives, but such person may be sued in any county where he may be found; and provided further, that any person who resides in one county but carries on any regular business, or habitually engages in any avocation or employment in another county, may be sued in either county, whether before a justice of the peace or in a court of law or equity; this section not to apply to ejection, dower, replevin, *scire facias* on judgment or decree, nor to heirs, devisees or terre-tenants, against whom process may be issued to another county.

Redgrave v. Jones, 1 H. & McH. 195. Hoffman v Prout, 4 H & McH. 165. Deale v Estep, 3 Bl 433 Cape Sable Case, 3 Bl. 656 Beall v Brown, 7 Md. 393 Hamilton v. State, 32 Md. 348. Gittings v. State, 33 Md. 458. State v. Gittings, 35 Md. 169. Yoe v. Gelston, 37 Md. 233. Tyler v. Murray, 57 Md. 435. Ireton v. M. & C. C. of Balto., 61 Md. 432. B. & Y. T. Co. v. Crowther, 63 Md. 571. Chappell v. Lacey, 77 Md. 172. Henderson v. Md. Home Ins. Co., 90 Md. 49. Gambill v. Schooley, 95 Md. 271. Cromwell v. Willis & Homer, 96 Md 265.

Ibid. sec 133 1860, art 75, sec. 88. 1785, ch. 87, sec 4
1838, ch. 329.

145. If any trespass shall be committed on any real property and the person committing the same shall remove from the county where such property may lie, or cannot be found in such county, such trespasser may be sued in any county where he may be found, and an executor may be sued either in the county where he resides or where he obtained administration.

Patterson v. Wilson, 6 G. & J. 499. Crook v Pitcher, 61 Md 510. B. & Y. T. Co. v. Crowther, 63 Md 571.

Ibid. sec. 134. 1860, art. 75, sec. 89. 1860, ch 29.

146. Any captain, master or owner of any steamboat or other vessel may be sued for the non-delivery or injury of any goods or chattels in the county where the goods or chattels are