1888, art. 75, sec. 6. 1860, art. 75, sec. 6. 1763, ch. 23. 1856, ch. 112, sec. 36.

6. No special demurrer shall be allowed in any civil case.

Blackburn v. Beall's Ex'r, 21 Md. 208. Spencer v. Trafford, 42 Md. 1
Gott v. State, 44 Md. 319. Ches. & Pot Tel. Co. v. Mackenzie, 74 Md. 44

Ibid. sec. 7 1860, art. 75, sec 7. 1856, ch 112, sec. 37.

7. No general demurrer shall be allowed for a mere informal statement of a cause of action or defense; provided, such statement is sufficient in substance.

Shoop v Powles, 13 Md 304 Kent v. Holliday, 17 Md 387. Blackburn v. Beall's Ex'r, 21 Md. 208 Wilms v. White, 26 Md. 380. McAleer v. Horsey, 35 Md. 439. Miller v. Miller, 41 Md. 623. Spencer v. Trafford, 42 Md. 1 Mitchell v. McCleary, 42 Md. 374. State v. Avirett, 76 Md. 514.

Ibid. sec. 8. 1867, ch. 388

8. In all cases, civil and criminal, in which any or either party shall demur to any indictment, declaration, plea, replication, rejoinder, sur-rejoinder, or other plea of any description, of the opposite party, and the said demurrer shall be overruled, the party demurring shall have the right to plead over to the facts of the case by way of traverse or otherwise without withdrawing his demurrer, and upon appeal or writ of error shall have the questions of law arising upon the demurrer decided and determined as fully to every intent as if the party demurring had not pleaded over.

Barabasz v. Kabat, 91 Md. 55.

Ibid sec. 9. 1860, art. 75, sec. 8. 1809, ch. 153, sec. 2. 1856, ch. 112, sec. 40. 1888, ch. 547.

9. No judgment shall be arrested or set aside for any omission of mere matter of form, nor because one or more of the counts in the declaration may be bad, if there be one count sufficient in substance, nor because of any misjoinder of forms of actions or of counts, nor for any other matter or cause which might have been subject of general demurrer to the declaration or other pleadings.

Gordon v. Downey, 1 Gill, 41. B. C. P. R. W. Co. v. Wilkinson, 30 Md. 224. Eakle v. Clark, 30 Md. 322 Canton Bldg Asso. v. Weber, 34 Md 669. Streeks v. Dyer, 39 Md. 424. Spencer v. Trafford, 42 Md. 1 Loney v. Bailey, 43 Md. 10. Gaither v. Wilmer, 71 Md. 367. Huntington v. Emery, 74 Md. 70. Charles Co v. Mandanyohl, 93 Md. 155.

Ibid sec 10. 1860, art. 75, sec 10 1856, ch. 112, sec 89. 1888, ch. 547.

10. The plaintiff in any action may plead in answer to the plea, or any subsequent pleading of the defendant, as many