

merchant, or of the staple, or other specialty whatsoever, except such as shall be taken for the use of the State, shall be good and pleadable, or admitted in evidence against any person in this State after the principal debtor and creditor have been both dead twelve years, or the debt or thing in action is above twelve years' standing, saving to all persons that shall be under the aforementioned impediments of infancy, coverture, insanity of mind or imprisonment, the full benefit of all such bills, bonds, judgments, recognizances, statutes merchant, or of the staple or other specialties, for the space of six years after the removal of such disability.

*Handwritten notes:*  
 Payments  
 200 C-414  
 100 2 12

Hammond v Denton, 1 H. & McH. 200. Lord Baltimore's Ex'x v. Evans, 4 H. & McH. 482. Glasgow's Admr. v Porter, 1 H. & J 109. Beall v. Prather, 1 H. & J. 210 Haffner v Dickson, 2 H & J 46 Schell v. State, 3 H. & J. 538. State v. Wright, 4 H. & J. 148. Maddox v State, 4 H & J 539. Welch v. State, 5 H. & J 369. Merryman v State, 5 H & J 425, (note) Salisoury v. Black, 6 H. & J 293. Ruff v. Bull, 7 H & J Veasey v Bassett, 7 H. & J. 461. Watkins v. Harwood, 2 G. & J. 307. State v Boyd, 2 G. & J 365. Green v. Johnson, 3 G. & J. 395. Carroll v. Warnng, 3 G. & J 491. State v Greene, 4 G. & J. 381. Lamar v. Manro, 10 G & J 50 Tiernan v Rescaniere's Admr, 10 G & J. 224. Booth v. U S, 11 G & J 873. Hall's Admr. v Cresswel, 12 G. & J 36. Post v. Mackall, 3 Bl. 486. Hayden v. Stewart, 1 Md. Ch. 459. Boyd v. Harris, 2 Md Ch 214 Dorsey v Dashell, 1 Md 203. Young v. Mackall, 4 Md. 362. Bevans v. McGlockhn, 9 Md 477. Knight v Brawner, 14 Md. 1. Felty v Young, 18 Md. 163. Duvall v. Fearson, 18 Md. 502. Thruston v. Blakiston, 36 Md 501. Leonard v. Hughlett, 41 Md 380. Byrd v. State, 44 Md. 492. B. & O. R. R. v Trimble, 51 Md. 104. Wright v. Gilbert, 51 Md. 146. Johnson v. Foran, 59 Md. 460. Johnson v. Hines, 61 Md. 122. Lambson v. Moffet, 61 Md. 326.

P. G. L., (1860,) art. 58, sec. 4. 1715, ch. 23, secs. 4-5.

4. No person absenting himself from this State, or that shall remove from county to county after any debt contracted, whereby the creditor may be at an uncertainty of finding out such person or his effects, shall have any benefit of any limitation herein contained; but nothing contained in this section shall debar any person from removing himself or family from one county to another for his convenience, or shall deprive any person leaving this State, for the time herein limited, of the benefit thereof, he leaving effects sufficient and known for the payment of his just debts in the hands of some person who will assume the payment thereof to his creditors.

Brent's Lessee v Tasker, 1 H. & McH 89 Coursey v Wright, 1 H & McH. 394. Pancoast's Lessee v. Addison, 1 H. & J 350. Murdoch v. Winter's Admr.,