right to have a writ of scire facias to renew or revive the same; and on all such judgments or decrees the plaintiff may have more than one at achment or execution to be laid in the hands of different persons, or levied on other property or effects than that taken under the first, though the first be still outstanding; provided that but one satisfaction of the debt or demand shall be made, and that it shall be in the discretion of the court in all such cases, whether any costs, and if any, what amount of costs shall be allowed on the subsequent attachments or other executions. The provisions of this section shall apply also to attachments or executions, directed to a county different from that where the judgment or decree was rendered, or to or from the city of Baltimore.

Arnott v. Nicholls, 1 H. & J. 471. Salmon v. Yates, 1 H. & J. 488. McElderry v. Smiths' Lessee, 2 H. & J. 72. Barney v. Patterson, 6 H. & J. 194. Hanson v. Barnes' Lessee, 3 G. & J. 359. Mullikin v. Duvall, 7 G. & J. 355. Townshend, Ex'x. v. Townshend, 10 G. & J. 373. Nesbit v. Manro, 11 G. & J. 261. Murphy v. Cord, 12 G. & J. 182. Miles v. Knott, 12 G. & J. 443. Jones v. Jones, 1 Bl. 443. Tessier v. Wyse, 3 Bl. 28. Boyd v. Harris, 1 Md. Ch. 466. Hodges v Sevier, 4 Md. Ch. 382. Doub v Barnes, 4 Gill 1. Warfield v. Brewer, 4 Gill 265. Cushwa v. Cushwa, 5 Md. 55. Kemp v. Cook, 6. Md. 305. Trail v. Snouffer, 6 Md. 308. Moore v. Garrettson, 6 Md. 444. Elliott's Lessee v. Knott, 14 Md. 121. Huston v. Ditto, 20 Md. 305. Hazlehurst v. Morris, 28 Md. 67. Hardesty v. Campbell, 29 Md 533. Mitchell v. Chesnut, 31 Md. 521. Goldsborough v. Green, 32 Md. 91. Kirkland v. Krebs, 34 Md. 93. Hagerstown Bank v. Thomas, 35 Md 515. Anderson v. Graff, 41 Md. 601. Manton v. Hoyt, 43 Md. 264. Weikel v. Cate, 58 Md. 110. Lambson v. Moffet, 61 Md. 429.

P. G. L, (1860,) art. 29, sec. 18. 1839, ch. 14.

21. A judgment rendered against one or more members of a partnership, or one or more persons jointly liable on any bill, bond, covenant, promissory note, bill of exchange, contract or agreement whatsoever, less than the whole number of partners or persons so bound, shall not work an extinguishment or merger of the cause of action on which such judgment may have been rendered, as respects the liability of the partners or persons not bound by such judgment; and they shall remain liable to be sued as if their original responsibility had been joint and several; provided, that but one satisfaction of the debt or demand shall be made.

Williams v. Hodgson, 2 H. & J. 474. Moale v. Hollins, 11 G. & J. 11. Davidson v. Kelly, 1 Md. 500. Thomas v Mohler, 25 Md. 36. Gott v. State, 44 Md. 319. Cruzen v. McKaig, 57 Md. 461.