

ard v. Waters, 19 Md 599. Bouldin v. Bank of Commerce, 21 Md 44. Vickers v Gray, 22 Md 196. Henry v. Kaufman, 24 Md 1. Phillips v. Pearson, 27 Md. 242. Hazlehurst v. Morris, 28 Md. 67. Chenoweth v Smith, 29 Md. 18. Frisby v. Parkurst, 29 Md. 59. Wylie v Johnstone, 29 Md 298. Johnson v. Robertson, 31 Md 476 Hall v. Jack, 32 Md. 253. Hunting v. Walter, 33 Md. 60. Calvert v. Williams, 34 Md. 672. Ashton v. Ashton, 35 Md 496. Powhatan Steamboat Co v Polomac Steamboat Co, 36 Md. 238. Hill v. Reifsnider, 39 Md 429 Barton v. Higgins, 41 Md 539. Dillon v. Conn. Mutual Life Ins. Co, 44 Md. 386. Equitable Mutual Land Imp. Asso. v Becker, 45 Md 632. Smith v. Shaffer, 50 Md. 132. Pfeaff v. Jones, 50 Md. 263. Gebhart v. Merfeld, 51 Md. 392. Washington City and Pt. Lookout R. R. Co. v. Southern Md. R. R. Co., 55 Md. 163. Gustav Adolph Build. Asso. v. Kratz, 55 Md 394. Chappell v. Funk, 57 Md. 465. Hecht v. Colquhoun, 57 Md. 563. Frey v. Shrewsbury Savs. Ins, 58 Md 151. Schwartz v. Chickering, 58 Md. 290. Simms v. Lloyd, 58 Md. 477. Brown v. B. W. & B. R. R. Co., 58 Md. 539. Gable v Williams, 59 Md. 46 Mackey v. Daniel, 59 Md. 484. Brune v. Lanahan, 60 Md. 515. McDonald v. The Workingmen's Building Asso., 60 Md 589. Schluderberg v. Robertson, 60 Md. 602. Dennison v. Wantz, 61 Md. 143. Tome v. Hambleton, 64 Md. 166. State v. Brown, 64 Md. 199. Sumner v. Miller, 64 Md 296. Isaac v Emory, 64 Md. 333. Frey v. Devries, 64 Md. 532. Hull v. Caughy, 66 Md. 105.

P. G. L., (1860.) art. 5, sec. 21. 1835, ch. 346, sec. 2. 1835, ch. 380, sec. 3.

1841, ch. 11. 1845, ch. 367, sec. 1. 1865, ch. 141.

25. An appeal may also be allowed in the following cases, to wit: From any order granting an injunction, or from a refusal to dissolve the same, or an order appointing a receiver, the answer of the party appealing being first filed in the cause; from an order dissolving an injunction; from an order for the sale, conveyance, or delivery of real or personal property, or the payment of money, unless such delivery or payment be directed to be made to a receiver appointed by such court; or from an order determining a question of right between the parties, and directing an account to be stated on the principle of such determination.

Snowden v Dorsey, 6 H & J 114. Dorsey v. Smith, 2 H & G 135. Hagthorpe v Hook, 1 G. & J. 270. Roberts v. Salisbury, 3 G & J 425 Hungerford v. Bourne, 3 G. & J. 133. Richardson v Jones, 3 G & J. 163. Marshall v. Mayor, &c, 3 G. & J 214. Richter v. Pue, 9 G. & J 475. Hatton v. Weems, 10 G. & J. 377 Clagett v. Crawford, 12 G. & J 275. Darrington v. Rogers, 1 Gill, 403 Wheeler v. Stone, 4 Gill, 38 Brawner v Franklin, 4 Gill, 463. Geiger v. Green, 4 Gill, 472. Goodburn v Stevens, 5 Gill, 20. White v White, 5 Gill, 359 Kerr v Potter, 6 Gill, 422. Wagner v Cohen, 6 Gill, 97 Barnes v. Dodge, 7 Gill, 109. Ellicott v Warford, 3 Md. Ch Dec. 300. Smallwood v Hatton, 4 Md Ch Dec 95 Young v Frost, 1 Md 394 Furlong v. Edwards, 3 Md 99. Ware v. Richardson, 3 Md 507. Elli-