

Md. 301. *Hamilton v. State*, 32 Md. 354. *Worthington v. Tormey*, 34 Md. 182. *Norris v. Wrenschall*, 34 Md. 492. *Gabelen v. Plaenker*, 36 Md. 61. *Strauss v. Young*, 36 Md. 246. *Schley v. Merritt*, 37 Md. 352. *Stansbury v. Fogle*, 37 Md. 369. *A. & E. R. R. Co. v. Gantt*, 39 Md. 115. *Davis v. State*, 39 Md. 355. *Gent v. Ensor*, 41 Md. 24. *B. & O. R. R. Co. v. Woodward*, 41 Md. 268. *Balto. Building Asso. v. Grant*, 41 Md. 560. *Newman v. McComas*, 43 Md. 70. *Scarlett v. Academy of Music*, 43 Md. 203. *Cockey v. Ensor*, 43 Md. 266. *Third Nat. Bank v. Boyd*, 44 Md. 47. *Stillman v. Dougherty*, 44 Md. 380. *Grove v. Schwartz*, 45 Md. 227. *Broll v. State*, 45 Md. 356. *McKnew v. Duvall*, 45 Md. 501. *Scarlett v. Academy of Music*, 46 Md. 153. *Keene v. Van Reuth*, 48 Md. 184. *Franklin v. Claffin*, 49 Md. 24. *Mondell v. Shafer*, 49 Md. 492. *Hearn v. Gould*, 51 Md. 321. *Reier v. Strauss*, 54 Md. 291. *Eckenrode v. Chemical Co.*, 55 Md. 66. *Coward v. Dillinger*, 56 Md. 61. *Ecker v. First Nat. Bank*, 62 Md. 519. *Dwyer v. State*, 65 Md. 278. *B. & O. R. R. Co. v. Mali*, 66 Md. 56. *McCullough v. Biedler*, 66 Md. 283. *Albert v. State*, 66 Md. 334. *Jackson v. Commrs. of Salisbury*, 66 Md. 460. *Shiple v. Shilling*, 66 Md. 565. *Thorne v. Fox*, 67 Md. 74.

Rule 5.

10. Bills of exceptions shall be so prepared as only to present to the court of appeals the rulings of the court below upon some matter of law, and shall contain only such statement of facts as may be necessary to explain the bearing of the rulings upon the issues or questions involved; and if the facts are undisputed, they shall be stated as facts, and the evidence from which they are deduced shall not be set out; and if disputed, it shall be sufficient to state that evidence was adduced tending to prove them, instead of setting out the evidence in detail; but if a defect of proof be the ground of the ruling or exception, then the particulars in which the proof is supposed to be defective shall be briefly stated, and all the evidence offered in anywise connected with such supposed defect, shall be set out in the bill of exception; and it shall be the duty of the judges in the courts below to require exceptions to be prepared in accordance with this rule.

Ramsay v. Glass, 9 Gill, 56. *Anderson v. Garrett*, 9 Gill, 121. *Burtles v. State*, 4 Md. 278. *Reynolds v. Negro Juliet*, 14 Md. 118. *Clements v. Mayor & C. C. of Balto.*, 16 Md. 208. *McTavish v. Carroll*, 17 Md. 22. *Hallowell v. Miller*, 17 Md. 305. *McCann v. B. & O. R. R.*, 20 Md. 202. *Hartle v. Stahl*, 27 Md. 174. *C. C. & I. Co. v. McKaig*, 27 Md. 267. *Boyd v. Cross*, 35 Md. 200. *Davis v. State*, 38 Md. 51. *Blair v. Blair*, 39 Md. 556. *Scarlett v. Academy of Music*, 43 Md. 203. *Blake v. Pitcher*, 46 Md. 462. *Wilson v. Merryman*, 48 Md. 341. *B. & O. R. R. Co v. Mali*, 66 Md. 57.