

*Acts relating to municipalities.*

Title of ch. 23, Acts of 1939, authorizing Cumberland to issue bonds held sufficient. *Hitchens v. Cumberland*, Daily Record, Oct. 16, 1939.

Ordinance No. 692 of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, providing for the segregation of white and colored people, held not to violate sec. 303 of the Baltimore city charter, which is an adaption of this section. *State v. Gurry*, 121 Md. 539.

Title of the act of 1904, ch. 274, authorizing Baltimore city to issue stock to raise money for the condemning, opening, etc., of streets in the Annex, authorizing the appointment of an "Annex improvement commission" and defining the duties thereof, held sufficient. Cases involving the portion of this section dealing with the title of an act, reviewed and summarized. *Baltimore v. Flack*, 104 Md. 114.

Title of the act of 1886, ch. 280, giving authority to the city of Baltimore to open streets through a cemetery, held sufficient, although the act made provision for the removal of bodies from certain parts of the cemetery and for the sale of the land from which bodies were removed. *Catholic Cathedral v. Manning*, 72 Md. 133.

Title of the act of 1867, ch. 240, which is "An Act to Amend and Alter the Charter of the City of Annapolis," held sufficient; the subject-matter of the legislation was the charter of a municipal corporation embracing its powers, rights and duties. *Annapolis v. State*, 30 Md. 118.

Title of the act of 1870, ch. 260, incorporating the town of Laurel, held sufficient. *Prince Georges County v. Laurel*, 51 Md. 460.

Title of the act of 1900, ch. 75, providing for the establishment of an electric light plant at Hagerstown, but not stating that it was to be established by the municipality, held sufficient. *Mealey v. Hagerstown*, 92 Md. 743.

Ordinance of the city of Baltimore, No. 684, approved March 3, 1922, prohibiting the sale at public auction of gold, silver, jewelry, etc., upheld; the fact that certain auction sales of property of the kind mentioned are exempted in the body of the ordinance does not make the title misleading. *Mogul v. Gaiher*, 142 Md. 382.

*Local acts.*

Title of the act of 1912, ch. 823, providing a "people's court" for Baltimore city, held sufficient. *Levin v. Hewes*, 118 Md. 631.

Title of the act of 1910, ch. 693, for the regulation of moving picture machines in the city of Baltimore, held sufficient. *State v. Loden*, 117 Md. 383.

Title of the act of 1908, ch. 118, providing new appraisers of decedents' estates in Baltimore city, held sufficient. *Barron v. Smith*, 108 Md. 317.

Title of the act of 1908, ch. 142, authorizing Baltimore city to publish notices in German newspapers, held sufficient. *Whiteley v. Baltimore*, 113 Md. 541.

Title of the act of 1854, ch. 200, regulating inspections in Baltimore city, held sufficient. *Davis v. State*, 7 Md. 160.

Title of the act of 1878, ch. 160, repealing certain sections of the Public Local Laws applicable to Dorchester county, sub-title "County Commissioners," held sufficient. A law may be repealed by reference to its title alone. *Dorchester County v. Meekins*, 50 Md. 40 (*cf. dissenting opinion*); *Montague v. State*, 54 Md. 487.

Title of the act of 1894, ch. 25, repealing and re-enacting certain sections of the local code for Cecil county, so as to provide for the election of a treasurer of said county in the year 1895 and his appointment in the meantime, held sufficient. Bad grammar will not vitiate a statute. Cases reviewed and distinguished. *Drennen v. Banks*, 80 Md. 315.

Title of the act of 1900, ch. 147, regulating the number, jurisdiction, duties and compensation of justices of the peace and constables in Baltimore County, held sufficient. *Herbert v. Baltimore County*, 97 Md. 642.

Title of the act of 1894, ch. 546, providing for the removal of the county seat of Charles county from Port Tobacco to La Plata or Chapel Point, if the voters so determined, etc., held sufficient. *Hamilton v. Carroll*, 82 Md. 334.

Title of the act of 1890, ch. 183, creating a treasurer for Calvert county, and providing for the collection of taxes therein, held sufficient, although it provided that the deputy treasurer should be the clerk of the county commissioners. *Calvert County v. Hellen*, 72 Md. 605-6.

Title of the act of 1878, ch. 108, which was "An Act to Add an Additional Article to the Code of Public Local Laws to be Entitled Garrett County," held sufficient. *State v. Fox*, 51 Md. 414.

Title of the act of 1878, ch. 143, repealing a certain act and reviving and amending a certain section of the Public Local Laws and validating certain condemnation proceedings, held sufficient. *Baltimore v. Reitz*, 50 Md. 579.

Title of the act of 1918, ch. 122, creating a sanitary district, or a special taxing district, within two counties, upheld as containing a clear and definite description of the subject matter of the act. *Dahler v. Wash. Sub. San. Comn.*, 133 Md. 647.

*Liquor laws.*

"An Act to Prohibit the Sale of Intoxicating Liquors in the City of Annapolis or within Five Miles Thereof to Minors and People of Color," is a sufficient title, although the law prohibits the *giving* as well as the sale of liquors. *Parkinson v. State*, 14 Md. 193 (see also dissenting opinion); *Franklin v. State*, 12 Md. 248; *Cearfross v. State*, 42 Md. 403; *Mitchell v. State*, 115 Md. 362.