

When a person accused of crime by a sufficient indictment is subjected, like all other persons, to the law in its regular course, this article is not violated. Object of this article. *Lanasa v. State*, 109 Md. 610.

Articles which are designed to be used in violation of the criminal law and which can be used for no legitimate purpose, may be seized by the police, and this article is not thereby violated. *Replevin dismissed. Board of Police Commissioners v. Wagner*, 93 Md. 191.

The fact that special license fees are charged professional chauffeurs does not deprive such chauffeurs of their property without due process of law. *Ruggles v. State*, 120 Md. 562.

The words "judgment of his peers" mean a trial by jury, and the words "by the law of the land" (copied from *Magna Charta*) mean due process of law according to the course and process of the common law. This article referred to in upholding the power of the legislature to grant a divorce. *Wright v. Wright's Lessee*, 2 Md. 452 (decided prior to the Constitution of 1867—see art. 3, sec. 33, thereof).

Sec. 206 of art. 23 of the An. Code, held not to be in violation of this article. Meaning of the phrase "Law of the Land"; it is equivalent to the words "Due process of law" as used in the United States Constitution. *Baltimore Belt R. R. Co. v. Baltzell*, 75 Md. 99.

Meaning of the words "judgment of his peers, or by the law of the land." This article referred to in construing art. 17—see notes thereto. *Grove v. Todd*, 41 Md. 641.

For a discussion of the meaning of "Due process of law," as used in the 14th amendment to the Constitution of the United States, see *Hurtado v. California*, 110 U. S. 516.

Police Power.

The act of 1898, ch. 306—see art. 58, sec. 24, *et seq.*, of the An. Code—creating a state live stock sanitary board and charging it with various duties looking to the prevention of the spread of contagious diseases amongst live stock, held not to violate this article. Nature and extent of the police power. *State v. Broadbelt*, 89 Md. 574. And see *Creaghan v. Baltimore*, 132 Md. 456 (upholding milk ordinance); *State v. Knowles*, 90 Md. 657; *Scholle v. State*, 90 Md. 741.

The act of 1910, ch. 153, as amended by act of 1912, ch. 445, creating a fund for the relief of coal and clay mine employees in Allegany and Garrett counties and their dependents, held not to violate this article or art. 3, sec. 40, of the Md. Constitution. These provisions do not restrain the reasonable exercise of police power; purpose of these provisions. *American Coal Co. v. Allegany County*, 128 Md. 572.

The act of 1910, ch. 94, providing that eight hours should constitute a day's work for all laborers, etc., in the employ of the city of Baltimore, except under certain conditions and subject to certain provisos, held constitutional; the fact that the law applies only to Baltimore city does not render it invalid. *Sweeten v. State*, 122 Md. 637.

The act of 1886, ch. 439, requiring plumbers to secure certificates of competency, held not to violate this article. Nature and extent of the police power. *Singer v. State*, 72 Md. 465. And see *State v. Loden*, 117 Md. 379; *State v. Knowles*, 90 Md. 657; *Scholle v. State*, 90 Md. 741.

The act of 1896, ch. 378—see art. 32, sec. 4, of the An. Code—requiring dentists to pass an examination, register, etc., but permitting graduates of colleges to register without examination, held not to grant arbitrary power or create an arbitrary classification, and hence not to violate this article. *State v. Knowles*, 90 Md. 653. And see *Scholle v. State*, 90 Md. 741.

So much of the act of 1890, ch. 513, as provided for the forfeiture of property of unknown owners upon their failure to produce evidence of their title, held in conflict with this article. *Scharf v. Tasker*, 73 Md. 382.

The registry act of 1865, ch. 174, disfranchising those who had been in the Confederate army and requiring a test oath, held not to be in violation of this article—see note to art. 1, sec. 1, of the Md. Constitution. *Anderson v. Baker*, 23 Md. 612, 585, 590 and 573.

The act of 1910, ch. 211, requiring corporations or individuals engaged in mining coal or fire clay in Garrett county to pay employees twice a month, held to be void because it creates an arbitrary classification and is not justified by the police power. Nature and extent of the police power. Cases reviewed. *State v. Potomac Coal Co.*, 116 Md. 395.

The act of 1902, ch. 160, sec. 8, as amended by the acts of 1904, ch. 389, and 1908, ch. 496, providing that undertakers shall be skillful embalmers, held to violate this article, since it has no relation to the police power. *State v. Rice*, 115 Md. 327.

The act of 1910, ch. 693, regulating moving picture machines in Baltimore city, held not to violate this article. The act of 1910, held not to discriminate between moving picture operators, but to include all classes. The police power may be delegated to subordinate boards and commissions. *State v. Loden*, 117 Md. 379.

This article does not abridge the power of the state to pass laws for the safety and welfare of its people. Nature and extent of the "police power." *Deems v. Baltimore*, 80 Md. 173; *Spriggs v. Garrett County Park*, 89 Md. 411; *McAllister v. State*, 72 Md. 390; *Wright v. State*, 88 Md. 439; *State v. Gurry*, 121 Md. 541.

Ordinance No. 692 of the mayor and city council of Baltimore, providing for the segregation of white and colored people, held invalid because it ignored all vested