HEALTH 1931

in Baltimore City, which petition shall be under oath, and shall state that the petitioner is informed and believes that the person named therein has been heretofore improperly and wrongfully registered as osteopath upon his own application and affidavit upon the register of osteopath or book kept for such purpose in any Court of this State, for the reason (as said petitioner is empowered) that such person was not lawfully practicing osteopathy in the State of Maryland as a duly qualified osteopath in said State entitled to be registered as an osteopath upon his own application to the Clerk of the said Court; and that said petitioner prays that the name of such person shall be struck from the registry of osteopath aforesaid. Upon the filing of such petition the Court or one of the judges thereof shall pass an order requiring the person therein alleged to be wrongfully or improperly registered to answer the same, under oath, on or before a date to be named within thirty days from the date of such order, and to show cause, if any there is, why the prayer of such petition should not be granted, which order shall be served upon said last named person; and if said petition shall not be answered within the time named, as aforesaid, or if the answer thereto shall be adjudged insufficient by the Court, then the Court shall pass an order directing that the name of such person alleged to be wrongfully or improperly registered shall be stricken from the registry of osteopathic physicians where the same shall have been registered; but if said petition shall be answered by the defendant, being the person against whom it is exhibited by an answer under oath, fairly and fully denying the allegations of said petition, the issues thus raised shall be heard and determined by the Court, and either party may be entitled to a jury trial before a jury of the regular panel empannelled to try common law cases in said Court; and the defendant shall be competent and compellable to testify at such hearing and upon such hearing the Court shall render judgment with costs against the unsuccessful party. And if it shall determine that said defendant was not practicing osteopathy in the State of Maryland on or before the date of May 1st, 1914, not being a lawful practitioner of osteopathy in said State, on or before said date, it shall pass an order directing the name of said defendant to be stricken from the registry of osteopaths, which order shall be certified by the Clerk of the Court wherein said defendant was registered, and he shall thereupon strike his name from said registry.

It shall be the duty of the Police Commissioner of Baltimore City, and of the Sheriff of each County in the State, to see that all practicing osteopaths in the State shall be legally registered, according to the provisions of this sub-title, and to report to the State's Attorney of the City or County all

cases of the violation of the provision of this sub-title.

It shall be the duty of the Secretary of the Board of said State Board of Osteopathic Examiners to inquire into all violations of law under this Article, and to institute all proceedings or prosecutions thereof, and all expenses incurred by the Secretary of such board hereunder shall be allowed

and paid out of the funds acquired by or belonging to said board.

The said Board of Osteopathic Examiners shall have full control over the expenditures and disposition of the funds collected from the fees and charges authorized to be made under the terms of this sub-title, and shall affix and allow such compensation as they may deem proper for service rendered in the performance of the duties required by this sub-title by members of said board or others, with the full power also to allow and discharge all proper expenses of said board, and any surplus, to dispose of as said board may deem for the advantage of the practice of osteopathy in this