## Amendment.

39.

Amendment to pleadings cannot be allowed so as to nullify provisions of art. 75, sec. 28 (108). Farmers' & Mchts.' Bank v. Harper, 151 Md. 362, 364. And see Commercial Credit Corp. v. Schuck, 151 Md. 371.

Cited but not construed in Lanasa v. Beggs, 159 Md. 314.

42.

Another defendant added. Cohen v. Herbert, 145 Md. 204.

44.

Cited in construing sec. 45. Christy v. Hammond, 161 Md. 139.

45.

Where suit brought against three individuals trading under firm name, plaintiff allowed to amend declaration, etc., by striking out one name and describing other two defendants as partners in firm of different name. Christy v. Hammond, 161 Md. 139.

See notes to sec. 42.

47.

Cited but not construed in Commercial Credit Corp. v. Schuck, 151 Md. 372 (see notes to sec. 39).

49.

Cited but not construed in Lanasa v. Beggs, 159 Md. 314.

56.

Cited but not construed in Darnall v. Connor, 161 Md. 211.

## Cases by Consent.

58.

Cited but not construed in U. S. Fid. Co. v. Crown Cork, etc., Co., 145 Md. 517 (involving Hability on schedule bond).

59.

See notes to sec. 58.

**60**.

See art. 72A.

## Continuance.

**62**.

See notes to sec. 63.

63.

Ordinarily the granting of a discontinuance is in the discretion of the court and is not appealable. Cumberland, etc., Transit Co. v. Metz, 158 Md. 454.

64.

See notes to sec. 63.