

their minutes; nor from renting for fixed and limited terms any of its property not needed for public purposes, on approval of the Commissioners of Finance.

For decisions in relation to disposition of its lands and property by the municipality, *see*,

Rittenhouse v. Mayor, 25 Md. 336. Newbold v. Glenn, 67 Md. 489. Kilpatrick v. M. & C. C. of Balto., 81 Md. 195. Davidson v. Balto. City, 96 Md. 509.

City may rent city property for entertainments at times when not needed for public purposes.

Gottlieb-Knabe Co. v. Macklin, 109 Md. 429.

1912, ch. 429.

**14.** Hereafter, in contracting for any public work, or the purchase of any supplies or materials, involving an expenditure of five hundred dollars or more for the city, or by any of the city departments, sub-departments, or municipal officers not embraced in a department, or special commissions or boards, unless otherwise provided for in this Charter, advertisements for proposals for the same, shall be first published in two or more daily newspapers published in Baltimore City, twice or oftener, the first publication to be made not less than ten nor more than twenty days prior to the day set for opening the bids; and the contract for doing said work or furnishing said supplies or materials, shall be awarded by the board provided for in the next section of this Charter, and in the mode and manner as therein prescribed.

American Lighting Co. v. McCuen, 92 Md. 702. Packard v. Hayes, 94 Md. 233. Smith v. Hayes, 98 Md. 485. Building Supply Co. v. Baltimore City, 100 Md. 192. Flack v. M. & C. C. of Balto., 104 Md. 130.

As to cases arising out of contracts with city prior to the enactment of the New City Charter, *see* the following:

Baltimore v. Eschbach, 18 Md. 276. Mayor, &c., v. Reynolds, 20 Md. 1. Mayor v. B. & O. R. R. Co., 21 Md. 52. Rittenhouse v. M. & C. C. of Balto., 25 Md. 336. Mayor v. Musgrave, 48 Md. 272. Mayor, &c., v. Weatherby, 52 Md. 442. Kelly v. Mayor, 53 Md. 134. Morgan v. M. & C. C. of Balto., 58 Md. 509. Baltimore v. Raymo, 68 Md. 569. Wilson v. Balto. City, 83 Md. 203. *See further*, Mealy v. M. & C. C. of Hagerstown, 92 Md. 741. *See also* cases under Section 15. *post*.

Alternative system of bidding.

Baltimore City v. Flack, 104 Md. 130.

Selection of material for paving.—Discretion to choose between several kinds may be delegated.

Baltimore v. Gahan, 104 Md. 157.

Notice must be published in English language, unless notice published as required, award of contract will be enjoined.

Bennett v. City, 106 Md. 485.

When the lowest bid is not in conformity with the specifications as advertised, the contract may be awarded to the next lowest bidder.

Maryland Pavement Co. v. Mahool, 110 Md. 397.

The purchases by the Board of Police Commissioners included in their estimate of expenses are not subject to the provisions of this section and Section 36B construed in connection with Section 747.

Thrift v. Ammidon, 126 Md. 126.

Alternative bids, etc.

Konig v. M. & C. C., 126 Md. 606.

1908, ch. 163.

**15.** All bids made to the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore for supplies or work for any purpose whatever, unless otherwise provided in