

in part, *pro rata*, upon all the property binding upon such street, lane or alley, or part thereof, and for the collection of such assessment as other city taxes are collected.

Henderson v. Mayor, 8 Md. 352. Holland v. Mayor, 11 Md. 186. Bouldin v. Mayor, 15 Md. 18. Mayor, &c., v. Eschbach, 18 Md. 276. Mayor v. Porter, 18 Md. 284. Howard v. First Ind. Church, 18 Md. 451. Mayor, &c., v. Bouldin, 23 Md. 328. Mayor, &c., v. Horn, 26 Md. 195. Lester v. Mayor, 29 Md. 419. Dashiell v. Mayor, 45 Md. 624. Burns v. Mayor, 48 Md. 200. Wolff v. M. & C. C. of Baltimore, 49 Md. 446. Mayor, &c., v. Johns Hopkins Hospital, 56 Md. 1. Moale v. Baltimore, 61 Md. 237. Mayor, &c. v. Boyd, 64 Md. 10. Patterson v. M. & C. C., 130 Md. 645. Dobler v. Balto., 151 Md. 154.

(e) LEVY OF TAX TO PAY UNPAID ASSESSMENTS FOR GRADING,
PAVING, ETC.

1892, ch. 284. P. L. L. (1888), Art. 4, sec. S11A.

In any and all cases where any street, lane or alley, or any part thereof, in the city, has been graded, paved or curbed, or regraded, repaved or recurbed, under any ordinance which provided for assessing the whole or any portion of the cost of such improvement upon the property binding upon such street, lane or alley, or part thereof, and such assessments, or any part thereof, remain unpaid, it shall be lawful for the city to provide by ordinance for the levy and collection in such manner as it may deem proper, of a tax upon all the property binding on any street, lane or alley, or part thereof, which may have been so improved, to the extent that such property shall have been specially benefited by such improvement, provided, that no property upon which the assessment originally made for its share of the cost of such improvement shall have been paid, shall be again assessed, and that reasonable notice and an opportunity to be heard shall be given to all persons interested before the final ascertainment of the amount of tax to be paid by any such property, and the said city shall provide for appeals to the Baltimore City Court by any person or persons interested, including the city itself from the decision of the Commissioners for Opening Streets, or any Commissioner or Commissioners or other persons appointed to determine the amount or amounts of such special taxes or assessments; and in the trial of such appeals the practice shall conform as near as may be to the practice in the trial of street appeals, including the right of appeal to the Court of Appeals.

Baltimore v. Ulman, 79 Md. 480. Ulman v. Baltimore, 165 U. S. 719. Balto. v. Stewart, 92 Md. 535. Leser v. Wagner, 119 Md. 671.

(f) FOOTWAYS.

P. L. L. (1860), Art. 4, sec. 852. P. L. L. (1888), Art. 4, sec. 816.

To pass all ordinances necessary for grading, regulating, paving and repairing the footways in the streets, lanes and alleys of the city, and impose a tax on any lot fronting on any paved street, lane or alley, for the purpose of grading, regulating, paving or repairing footways in front thereof, or compel by fine or otherwise the owner or proprietor of any