

square, lane or alley in Baltimore City. To provide by ordinance for the collection of rent or revenue, which may or can be derived or collected from the occupiers, tenants, or by whatever term they may be called, for the use and occupation by them, of all building or buildings, or other property which the city pays for, to the owners thereof, in all cases of street openings, straightenings, closings or widenings, or in any case of condemnation for any purpose whatever, said rent to be paid by said tenants or occupiers of said building or buildings, or other property, to the city authorities, from the date of payment for the same by the city to the owners thereof, or from the date of the tender of such payment, if for any cause said owners refuse or cannot lawfully accept the same, until said building or buildings are removed, and until said property shall be required by the city for its purposes, under the condemnation proceedings.

Alexander v. Mayor, &c., 5 Gill, 383. Methodist Prot. Ch. v. Mayor, &c., 6 Gill, 391. Richardson v. Mayor, 8 Gill, 433. White v. Flannigan, 1 Md. 542. Moale v. Mayor, &c., 5 Md. 321. Steuart v. Mayor, &c., 7 Md. 500. Mayor v. Porter, 18 Md. 284. State v. Graves, 19 Md. 351. Steuart v. State, 20 Md. 97. Douglass v. Boonsboro Turnp. Co., 22 Md. 219. Mayor, &c., v. Bouldin, 23 Md. 328. Mayor, &c., v. Clunet, 23 Md. 449. Hawley v. Mayor, &c., 33 Md. 280. Page v. Mayor, &c., 34 Md. 558. Hazelhurst v. Mayor, 37 Md. 199. Mayor v. Grand Lodge, 44 Md. 436. Norris v. Mayor, &c., 44 Md. 598. McCormick v. Mayor, &c., 45 Md. 527. Dashiell v. Mayor, 45 Md. 616, 625, 626. Northern Central R. R. Co. v. Mayor, &c., 46 Md. 425. Brooks v. Mayor, &c., 48 Md. 265. Mayor, &c., v. St. Agnes' Hospital of Balto., 48 Md. 419. Mayor, &c., v. Reitz, 50 Md. 574. Hall v. Mayor, &c., 56 Md. 194. Mayor, &c., v. Black, 56 Md. 333. State *ex rel.* Henderson v. Taylor, 59 Md. 341. Mayor, &c., v. Hook, 62 Md. 371. Central Savings Bank v. Mayor, &c., 71 Md. 515. Zion Church v. Mayor, 71 Md. 524. Friedenwald v. Baltimore, 74 Md. 123. Van Witzten v. Gutman, 79 Md. 412. Balto. v. Ulman, 79 Md. 486. M. & C. C. of Balto. v. Smith, 80 Md. 466. M. & C. C. of Balto. v. Frick, 82 Md. 86. Baltimore v. Coates, 85 Md. 531. Balto. City v. Broumel, 86 Md. 159. Balto. City v. Cowen, 88 Md. 450. Bembe v. Anne Arundel Co., 94 Md. 226. Riggs v. Winterode, 100 Md. 447. Bouis v. Balto., 138 Md. 284.

The city is not compelled to accept any dedicated street. Until accepted such streets remain private property.

Brady v. Balto. Belt R. R., Daily Record, November 26, 1894.

The doctrine of adverse possession applies to the case of property dedicated to public use for a highway within the territorial limits of a municipal corporation. A municipal corporation may be held to be estopped from setting up a claim to a public highway.

Bing v. Mayor & C. C. of Baltimore, Daily Record, April 3, 1889.

In relation to opening, widening, straightening, etc., streets, see.

Binney's Case, 2 Bland, 129. Graff v. Mayor & C. C. of Baltimore, 10 Md. 544. Merrick v. Mayor, 43 Md. 219. Black v. Mayor, &c., 50 Md. 235. Tinges v. Mayor, &c., 51 Md. 600. Hiss v. Balto. & Hampden Pass Railway Co., 52 Md. 242. McMurray v. Mayor, &c., 54 Md. 103. Hodges v. Balto Union Pass. Railway Co., 58 Md. 603. Co. Commrs. of Balto. Co. v. Md. Hospital, 62 Md. 127. Mayor, &c., v. White, 62 Md. 362. Glenn v. Mayor, 67 Md. 390. Hitchins v. Frostburg, 68 Md. 100. P., W. & B. R. R. v. Shipley, 72 Md. 93. Pitts v. Baltimore, 73 Md. 326. Friedenwald v. Shipley, 74 Md. 220. Heaver v. Lanahan, 74 Md. 498. Burk v. Mayor & C. C. of Balto., 77 Md. 471. Gluck v. Baltimore, 81 Md. 315. Baltimore City v. Fear, 82 Md. 246. Baldwin v. Trimble, 85 Md. 397. Flersheim v. Mayor, 85 Md. 493. Valentine v. Hagerstown, 86 Md. 488. Clendenin v. Md. Construction Co., 86 Md. 80. Baltimore City v. N. C. Ry. Co., 88 Md. 427. Ogle v. Cumberland, 90 Md. 62. Gardiner v. Baltimore City, 96 Md. 361. Jenkins v. Riggs, 100 Md. 438.

Widening of Light Street.—Rights to build out in the Harbor.

Baltimore City v. Steamboat Company, 104 Md. 485.

Chester Street dedicated.

Canton Company v. City, 104 Md. 582.