

Although a widow supposing that her husband died intestate renounces, upon subsequent discovery of a will, she is entitled to notice under sec. 32 before letters *c. t. a.* are granted. *Brodie v. Mitchell*, 85 Md. 518.

Under last clause of this section a male residuary legatee is preferred to a female one, no matter how close latter may be related to testator. Who is a "residuary legatee"? *Henning v. Varner*, 34 Md. 106.

An. Code, sec. 34. 1904, sec. 34. 1888, sec. 35. 1839, ch. 21, sec. 1. 1894, ch. 462.  
1898, ch. 496.

**35.** No administration or letters testamentary on any will executed after the 9th of April, 1898, shall be granted to any judge of the orphans' court, or of the circuit court, or register of wills of the county where he is judge or register of wills, unless he be next of kin or largest creditor.

Cited but not construed in *Georgetown College v. Browne*, 34 Md. 455.

An. Code, sec. 35. 1904, sec. 35. 1888, sec. 36. 1798, ch. 101, sub-ch. 5, sec. 4.

**36.** If administration shall be granted and a will for the disposition of the personal estate of the deceased shall afterwards be proved according to law, and an executor named therein shall apply for letters testamentary within thirty days thereafter, and shall be capable, and shall execute a bond as herein directed, letters testamentary shall be accordingly granted to him, and the same shall be construed as a revocation of the letters of administration.

The grant of letters is a judgment *in rem*, and does not prove intestacy when a will is offered for probate. *Emmert v. Stouffer*, 64 Md. 551.

This section makes no distinction between a foreign and domestic will, and in the light of sec. 364, there is no reason for any such distinction. *Dalrymple v. Gamble*, 66 Md. 305.

This section referred to in construing sec. 37—see notes thereto. *Pacy v. Cosgrove*, 113 Md. 319.

Cited but not construed in *Georgetown College v. Browne*, 34 Md. 455; *Kane v. Paul*, 14 Pet. 33.

See notes to sec. 70.

An. Code, sec. 36. 1904, sec. 36. 1888, sec. 37. 1798, ch. 101, sub-ch. 5, sec. 4.  
1802, ch. 101, sec. 6.

**37.** All acts done by any executor or administrator according to law, before any actual or implied revocation of his letters, shall be valid and effectual, and the executor or administrator obtaining letters after a revocation shall thereby be authorized to prosecute any actions at law or in equity commenced by the former executor or administrator, and obtain judgment in his own name, and likewise to defend any suit commenced against the former executor or administrator; and the new executor or administrator shall have the benefit of all judgments obtained by the former executor or administrator, and shall be bound by all judgments obtained against him, unless the same shall be shown to have been obtained by fraud and set aside by the court in which the judgment was rendered upon such suggestion of fraud, either upon examination in a summary way into the fact, or by directing an issue to try the same, or unless the executor or administrator shall show to the court that there are good grounds to open the judgment, in which case the court shall open the judgment for future litigation; and with respect to the allowance of costs, all such executors and administrators shall be on the same footing as if