\$2,000 to the company, the \$2,000 in bank then belongs to the agent, and may be condemned in the attachment. First National Bank v. Jaggers, 31 Md. 50.

Where H. is indebted to B., both H. and B. residing in North Carolina, and B. is indebted to E., a resident of Maryland, an attachment may be laid by E. in the hands of H. while he is temporarily in Maryland, and the garnishment binds H's indebtedness to B. Full faith and credit must be given such judgment by the courts of North Carolina when it is pleaded by H. in a suit against him by B. in the latter state. Temporary presence of a garnishee in a state gives a court of that state jurisdiction to render judgment in the garnishment proceedings upon personal service within the state, if during such temporary presence in the state the principal debtor could have sued the garnishee there. Duty of the garnishee to notify the defendant. Harris v Balk, 198 U.S. 215.

Under art. 23, sec. 236, of Code of 1912 (see art. 48A, sec. 167, this Code), money payable (to a resident or non-resident) by a fraternal beneficiary association, is not

liable to attachment. Himmel v. Eichengreen, 107 Md. 610.

It is not necessary in all cases that there be an actual seizure of the property attached, since where it cannot be seized and taken from the garnishee, the plaintiff has the right to interrogate the garnishee and thus get a sufficient description of the property into the record. Object of the seizure and schedule. De Bearn v. De Bearn, 119 Md. 425.

Certain registered bonds of a foreign corporation held to be property within the meaning of this section and, under the facts of the case, attachable. De Bearn v. Prince de Bearn, 115 Md. 676. And see De Bearn v. Winans, 119 Md. 394; De Bearn v. De Bearn, 119 Md. 421; U. S. Express Co. v. Hurlock, 120 Md. 113;

De Bearn v. De Bearn, 126 Md. 630.

Money appropriated by act of congress to meet French spoliation claims, such money being for the benefit of the next of kin of original sufferers, cannot be attached. Thurston v. Wilmer, 94 Md. 455. And see Deacon v. Oliver, 14 How. 610.

A creditor of a wife may attach funds belonging to her in the hands of her husband. A debt may be attached prior to its maturity. O'Denhal v. Devlin, 48

Where property is bought in the name of A., and B. pays the purchase money, the latter has an attachable interest in the property. Cecil Bank v. Snively, 23

An equitable interest in land may be attached. Campbell v. Norris, 3 H. & McH.

535; Pratt v. Law, 9 Cranch. 457.

The right of stoppage in transitu, if it exists, has priority over an attachment of the property. O'Brien v. Norris, 16 Md. 129.

Money due, which was by agreement to be paid in work and labor, may be attached. Louderman v. Wilson, 2 H. & J. 379.

An attachment will lie against the original holder of promissory notes laid in the hands of the maker, notwithstanding the original holder has transferred the notes to a third party, if the latter is not a bona fide holder for value. Luckmeyer v. Seltz, 61 Md. 324. But see Cruett v. Jenkins, 53 Md. 217.

Where there is a loss under a fire insurance policy providing that the company may either pay the insured a certain amount or else rebuild, and the company elects to rebuild, an attachment by a creditor of the insured laid in the hands of

the company, must fail. Stone v. Mutual, etc., Co., 74 Md. 579.

An agent who was to sell stock of a company and collect his commissions as the company collected the subscriptions, has nothing in the hands of the company hable to attachment until the company collects the subscriptions, though it may be that the agent can force the company to proceed to collect. Md. Agricultural College v. Baltimore, etc., Co., 43 Md. 438.

An undivided interest in land may be attached, and if in the meantime a decree for sale for the purpose of partition has been passed, the lien of the attachment is transferred to the defendant's interest in the proceeds of sale in the hands of the

trustee. Western National Bank v. National Union Bank, 91 Md. 613.

Where A. conveys all his property to a trustee for the benefit of creditors, he

has no interest left liable to attachment. Houston v. Noland, 7 G. & J. 491.

A plaintiff may lay an attachment in his own hands as garnishee, and proceed to condemnation as against a third person. Owens v. Crow, 62 Md. 498.

As to the attachment of corporate stock, see art. 23, secs. 63 and 64, sec. 80, et

seq.; and sec. 118.

As to the attachment of goods in the hands of a carrier, see art. 14, secs. 24 and 33. As to the attachment of goods in the hands of a warehouseman, see art. 14A, secs. 25 and 42.