This section applies to a guardian's bond. State v. Green, 4 G. & J. 384; Green v. Johnson, 3 G. & J. 389; Scaggs v. Reilly, 88 Md. 65.

A "testamentary bond" held to be the thing in action, and limitations held to

apply. State v. Wright, 4 H. & J. 148.

This section has no application when land has been bought with money supplied in part by plaintiff on faith of oral promise to convey a portion of the land. Cross v. Iler, 103 Md. 595.

The statute only affects the remedy. The acts of 1715, ch. 23, sec. 6, held to except state and United States from operation of statute. Swearingen v. United States, 11

G. & J. 377.

The Lord Proprietary held not to be barred by limitations in a suit on a bond. Lady Baltimore v. Evans, 4 H. & McH. 483. See also Kelly v. Greenfield, 2 H. &

A lapse of more than 12 years since the breach of a covenant to give bonds for deferred payments, does not bar right of action on breaches of covenant to pay annually, when latter have occurred within twelve years before suit brought. Keefer v. Zimmerman, 22 Md. 287.

Where suit is brought on a bond within twelve years, the bond is admissible in evidence at trial after expiration of twelve years. Hammond v. Denton, 1 H. &

The object of this section was not to prohibit putting in evidence a bill or bond of over twelve years' standing, except in cases where it was itself the foundation of the action. When an express promise is proved, bill or bond may be offered in evidence after twelve years. Lamar v. Manro, 10 G. & J. 61 (based on act of 1715, ch. 23).

Where there was a stay of execution, a judgment was held not to be barred. Johnson v. Hines, 61 Md. 128.

This section held inapplicable because of act of 1777, ch. 15, sec. 7, suspending temporarily operation of statute. Ringgold v. Cannell, 2 H. & McH. 410. See also Johns v. Lane, 3 H. & McH. 398.

No execution can be issued on a judgment of condemnation in attachment after

twelve years from its date. Johnson v. Foran, 59 Md. 461.

A plea that debt did not accrue within three years is inapplicable to a judgment. Weber v. Fickey, 47 Md. 201. And see Duvall v. Fearson, 18 Md. 505.

This section was held a bar in the following cases: Harwood v. Rawlings, 4 H.

& J. 127; Schell v. State, 3 H. & J. 538; Hall v. Creswell, 12 G. & J. 48.

Act of 1818, ch. 216, repealed saving clause in so far as it applied to persons "beyond the seas." The court construed act of 1818 to give such persons three years from time of passage of act within which to bring suit. Garrison v. Hill, 81 Md. 558. See also Mason v. Union Mills Co., 81 Md. 450; Frey v. Kirk, 4 G. & J. 521.

For cases dealing with this section, but now apparently inapplicable because of changes in the law, see Knight v. Brawner, 14 Md. 7; Swearingen v. United States, 11 G. & J. 373. (See also sec. 7.)

Cited but not construed in Lambson v. Moffett, 61 Md. 429; Coombs v. Jordon, 3 Bl. 327; Willard v. Wood, 135 U. S. 309.

As to how the statute should be pleaded, see notes to sec. 1.

Re. persons under disability, see notes to sec. 2. See secs. 1 and 6, and notes.

See art. 23, sec. 125, and notes to art. 26, sec. 20.

An. Code, sec. 4. 1904, sec. 4. 1888, sec. 4. 1715, ch. 23, secs. 4, 5.

No person absenting himself from this State or that shall remove from county to county after any debt contracted whereby the creditor may be at an uncertainty of finding out such person or his effects shall have any benefit of any limitation herein contained, but nothing contained in this section shall debar any person from removing himself or family from one county to another for his convenience, or shall deprive any person leaving this State for the time herein limited of the benefit thereof, he leaving effects sufficient and known for the payment of his just debts in