

This section apparently grew out of decision in *Riley v. Carter*, 76 Md. 581. And as to law prior to this section, see *Pfaff v. Prag*, 79 Md. 370; *McColgan v. Hopkins*, 17 Md. 401.

For deeds for benefit of creditors, conveyances, assignments, transfers, etc., not involving insolvents, but which were attacked as fraudulent, see *Luckemeyer v. Seltz*, 61 Md. 313; *Matthai v. Heather*, 57 Md. 484; *Price v. Pitzer*, 44 Md. 521; *Boyd v. Parker*, 43 Md. 201; *Whedbee v. Stewart*, 40 Md. 421; *Foley v. Bitter*, 34 Md. 646; *Crawford v. Austin*, 34 Md. 51; *Mackintosh v. Corner*, 33 Md. 598; *Laupheimer v. Rosenbaum*, 25 Md. 219.

For note upon deeds for benefit of creditors, see *Kettlewell v. Stewart*, 8 Gill, 472.

An. Code, sec. 35. 1904, sec. 35. 1898, ch. 355, sec. 4.

35. The provisions of this article shall apply to married women engaged in business as *femes sole*.

Prior to the adoption of this section, the law was to the contrary. *Clark v. Manko*, 80 Md. 82; *Relief Bldg. Assn. v. Schmidt*, 55 Md. 98.