

162.

No appeal lies from an order overruling a plea to a bill of complaint, since it decides a mere question of pleading. This section referred to in construing article 5, section 26, *et seq.*—see notes thereto. *Peoples v. Ault*, 117 Md. 634.

170.

A rule further proceedings held to have been prematurely entered. Although a defendant may be entitled to have the bill dismissed under this section, the court is not deprived of its power to reinstate it for satisfactory reason. Where an answer is filed on February 1st, the plaintiffs have the whole of February 16th on which to file a replication; hence a rule further proceedings may not be entered on the 16th. *Norris v. Ahles*, 115 Md. 64.

This section referred to in discussing the dismissal of a petition in the orphans' court for a failure to file a replication. *Long v. Long*, 115 Md. 135.

175.

This section referred to in deciding that the state may not, without its consent, be made an obligee in a bond in which it has no interest and which is not required by law to be executed. *State v. Graver*, 115 Md. 255.

To both of the notes to section 175 on page 411 of volume 1 of the Annotated Code, add the case of *State v. Graver*, 115 Md. 255.

To the first note to this section on page 411 of volume 1 of the Annotated Code, add the case of *Williams v. Fidelity & Dep. Co.*, 121 Md. 226.

186.

This section applied. Before a decree is enrolled it is within the province of the court to revise or revoke it, and this is true where the decree is entered by default. *Norris v. Ahles*, 115 Md. 65. And see *Long v. Long*, 115 Md. 135; *Long Contracting Company v. Albert*, 116 Md. 114.

188.

An original bill is usually resorted to to correct a decree after its enrollment. *Long Contracting Co. v. Albert*, 116 Md. 114.

199.

To the first and second notes to this section on page 418 of volume 1 of the Annotated Code, add the case of *B. & O. R. R. Co. v. Silbereisen*, 121 Md. 413.

201.

To the note to section 201 on page 418 of volume 1 of the Annotated Code, add the case of *Joyce v. Ragan*, 117 Md. 44.

205.

An order of court answering a question raised under this section, held to be an appealable order since it was in the nature of a final decree. *Buckler v. Safe Deposit Co.*, 115 Md. 226.

A right of appeal from an order overruling a plea to the whole bill filed by two of a number of defendants, held not to be sustained by this section. No order was passed as provided for in this section. *Hall v. Hughes*, 119 Md. 494.

To the first note to section 205 on page 419 of volume 1 of the Annotated Code, add the cases of *Cochrane v. Harris*, 118 Md. 299; *P., B. & W. R. R. Co. v. Baltimore*, 121 Md. 507.

206.

To the first note to section 206 on page 420 of volume 1 of the Annotated Code, add the case of *Cummings v. Wildman*, 116 Md. 308.

207.

See notes to section 206.