

chasers and mortgagees. *Murguiondo v. Hoover*, 72 Md. 14. And see *Walker v. Cockey*, 38 Md. 78.

This section has no application to a bill to enforce the *assignment* of a lien, which is radically different from a bill to *enforce* a lien. Contingencies provided for by this section. The last sentence of this section must be read in connection with what precedes it. This section has no application to specific performance. *Dorsey v. Omo*, 93 Md. 81.

This section has no effect upon sales made pursuant to powers in mortgages executed under article 66. *Walker v. Cockey*, 38 Md. 78.

The act of 1870, ch. 450, held to have no retrospective operation, and hence, not to affect decrees passed before it went into effect. *Johnson v. Johnson*, 52 Md. 669.

1904, art. 16, sec. 84. 1888, art. 16, sec. 73. 1860, art. 16, sec. 59.
1852, ch. 16, secs. 5, 6.

88. Where defendants reside in different counties or in a county and the city of Baltimore, the court where any one of the defendants resides shall have jurisdiction, and the bill or other proceedings may be filed or had in such court.

Ibid. sec. 85. 1888, art. 16, sec. 74. 1860, art. 16, sec. 60. 1840, ch. 109, sec. 6.
1841, ch. 303. 1870, ch. 450, sec. 2.

89. In case of the lands of any deceased person which may lie in more than one county, or in the city of Baltimore, and one or more counties, becoming liable to the payment of his debts, or where lands have been or may be mortgaged which lie in more than one county or in the city of Baltimore and one or more counties, then all such lands may be sold under a decree of the court of that county or of the city of Baltimore, in which the proceedings to sell the same shall be first commenced, and in such case a copy of the bill, decree and trustee's report of the sale shall be sent to the clerks of the proper courts where such lands may respectively lie, to be docketed, indexed and recorded, as provided in section 87.

The act of 1870, ch. 450, held to have no retrospective operation, and hence, not to affect decrees passed before it went into effect. *Johnson v. Johnson*, 52 Md. 669.

As to the sale of land for the payment of debts, see sec. 218.
See notes to sec. 87.

Ibid. sec. 86. 1888, art. 16, sec. 75. 1860, art. 16, sec. 61. 1787, ch. 30. 1852, ch. 16.

90. Where all the defendants are non-residents, the bill shall be filed in the court of the county or city where the property to be affected by such bill, or some part thereof, lies, or shall be at the time the suit is instituted.

As to non-residents, see sec. 124, *et seq.*

Ibid. sec. 87. 1888, art. 16, sec. 76. 1860, art. 16, sec. 62. 1785, ch. 72, sec. 13.
1841, ch. 303. 1852, ch. 16.

91. Where a decree has been made for a specific performance of a contract, or the conveyance of land, or for the sale of land, the court passing such decree shall have the same power to execute said decree, or compel a compliance therewith in cases where the land or property lies, or parties reside in different counties from that in which the decree