

“SEC. 4. *And be it enacted*, That this Act shall take effect from the date of its passage.”

Mr. Merryman moved that the vote by which

The bill entitled an Act to prevent stock running at large in Baltimore county, within one mile of the present limits of Baltimore City, except in the Thirteenth District of said county,

Was rejected for want of a constitutional majority, be re-considered.

Which motion prevailed.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was rejected by yeas and nays, as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.

Henkle,	Duer,	Loane,
Robinson,	Kemp,	Berry,
Keech,	Pruitt,	Grimes,
Buchanan,	Koons,	Stake,
Merryman,	Miller,	Watkins,
Banks,	Nicodemus,	Park,
Seth,	Riley,	Galt,
Coulbourn,	Dawson,	Brown—24.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.

Bond,	Annan,	Hart,
Colton,	Lee,	McColgan,
Bird,	McCosker,	Harig,
Sudler,	T. H. Hamilton,	Joyce,
Johnson,	Cooper,	Groh,
Hodson,	C. R. Hamilton,	Fenton,
Mackey,	Stewart, of B. city,	Wier,
Davis,	McAleese,	Wack—26.
Merrill,	Gill,	

The bill entitled an Act to prevent horses, mules, bulls and sheep from running at large within one-half mile of the court-house in Towson town, Baltimore county, Maryland,

Was read a third time and passed by yeas and nays, as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.

Hines, Speaker,	Duer,	McCosker,
Bond,	Johnson,	T. H. Hamilton,
Colton,	Hodson,	C. R. Hamilton,