

mons" add, "or the citizens of Somerset and Wicomico counties from using the waters of the Wicomico River in common."

Which was read.

The question recurring upon concurring in the amendment submitted by Mr. Duer,

Mr. Sudler demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows :

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.

Henkle,	Nicodemus,	Gill,
Sudler,	Annan,	Hart,
Conbourn,	Lee,	Greenfield,
Duer,	Williams,	McColgan,
Gordy,	McCosker,	Park,
Hodson,	Cooper,	Brace—20
Latchford,	Staylor,	

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.

Bond,	Kemp,	Bery,
Colton,	Pruitt,	Freaner,
Merritt,	Merrill,	Grimes,
Robinson,	Koons,	Stake,
Nutwell,	Miller,	Clark,
Bird,	Lamar,	Fletcher,
Turner, of Calv't,	Dawson,	Vanderford,
Lancaster,	T. H. Hamilton,	Galt,
Hawkins,	C. R. Hamilton,	Brown,
Keech,	Stewart, of B. city,	Maclin,
Matthews,	McAleese,	Stewart, of How'd,
Seth,	Loane,	Leonard,
Valliant,	Joyce,	West,
Davis,	Groh,	Leonard,
Thompson,	Fenton,	Glotfelty—44.

So the amendment was rejected.

On motion of Mr. Bird,

The vote by which section 28 had been adopted on yesterday, was reconsidered.

The question then recurring upon the adoption of the section,

Mr. Bird submitted the following amendment :

AMENDMENT PROPOSED.

Sec. 28, line 5, after the word "districts," insert "from per-