Mr. Matthews demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.

Keech, Duer. Williams, Buchanan, Turner, of Cecil. Grimes. Matthews, Davis, Stake, Merryman, Latchford, Watkins, Turner, of B. co., Pruitt, Vanderford, Seth. Annan, Galt. Coulbourn, Grafton. Brown-21.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.

Hines, Speaker, Lee, Greenfield. Riley, Bond, McColgan, Colton, McCosker, Harig, Robinson, T. H. Hamilton, Joyce, Groh, Lancaster, Cooper, C. R. Hamilton, Hawkins, Fenton, Valliant, McWilliams, Clark, Gordy. Foster, Fletchall, Hodson, Wier, Staylor, Stewart, of B. city, Wack, Robey, Thompson, Park, McAleese, Kemp, Gill, Brace, Koons, Hart, Stewart, of Howd, Miller, Loane, Bedsworth—43.' Lamar,

So the amendment submitted by Mr. Matthews was rejected.

The second reading of the bill was then proceeded with.

The question recurring upon the reading of section 2,

Pending which,

Mr. Fenton demanded the previous question.

The question then being,

"Shall the main question be now put?"

Mr. Keech demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.

Hines, Speaker, Riley, McColgan,
Bond, McCosker, Harig,
Colton, T. H. Hamilton, Joyce,