

The question then recurring upon the adoption of the amendment submitted by Mr. Seth,

Mr. Turner, of Baltimore county, submitted the following amendment:

AMENDMENT PROPOSED.

Section 1: Strike out from the word "all," line 2, down to the word "described," inclusive, in line 22, and insert in lieu thereof the words "Baltimore county."

The question recurring upon the adoption of the amendment,

Mr. Turner, of Baltimore county, demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.

Bond,	Turner, of B. co.,	Williams,
Henkle,	Kemp,	Grimes,
Merryman,	Koons,	Stake—9.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.

Hines, Speaker,	Merrill,	McColgan,
Colton,	Miller,	Harig,
Robinson,	Nicodemus,	Joyce,
Nutwell,	Annan,	Groh,
Lancaster,	Dawson,	Fenton,
Buchanan,	McCosker,	Clark,
Seth,	T. H. Hamilton,	Watkins,
Sudler,	Cooper,	Fletchall,
Coulbourn,	C. R. Hamilton,	Wier,
Duer,	McWilliams,	Wack,
Gordy,	Foster,	Park,
Hodson,	Staylor,	Brace,
Davis,	Stewart, of B. city,	Waters,
Etchison,	McAleese,	Vanderford,
Latchford,	Gill,	Galt,
Robey,	Hart,	Maclin,
Thompson,	Loane,	Stewart, of H'd—53.
Pruitt,	Greenfield,	

So the amendment was rejected.

The question then recurring upon the adoption of the amendment submitted by Mr. Seth,

It was adopted.