1874.

So two-thirds of all the members elected having voted in the affirmative, the bill was then read a third time.

The question recurring upon the passage of the bill,

Mr. Seth demanded the previous question.

The question then being,

"Shall the main question be now put?"

It was sustained.

The question then recurring upon the passage of the bill,

It was rejected, for want of a constitutional majority, by yeas and nays, as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.

Joyce, Kemp, Hines, Speaker, Pruitt, T. H. Hamilton, Fenton, Bond, Colton, Stake, Clark, Cooper, Robinson, Watkins, McWilliams, Nutwell, Fletchall. Bird, Foster, Stewart, of B. city, Waters, Hawkins, Vanderford, Gill, Buchanan, Maclin, Merryman, Hart, Stewart, of How'd. Valliant, Loane, -34.

Sudler, Greenfield, Robey, Harig,

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.

McCosker, Merrill, Merritt, C. R. Hamilton, Miller, Henkle, Staylor, Lamar, Keech, Freamer, Nicodemus, Seth, Grimes, Annan, Coulbourn, Park, Lee, Gordy, Galt, Riley, Johnson, Brown, Dawson, Hodson, Leonard-28. Williams, Latchford,

Thompson,

Mr. Stewart, of Baltimore City, moved to reconsider the vote by which the bill was just lost for want of a constitutional majority.

Pending which,

Mr. Henkle moved to lay the motion on the table.

Mr. Fenton demanded the yeas and nays.

Mr. Stewart, of Baltimore City, moved a call of the House.

Mr. Freaner moved that the House do now adjourn.

The question recurring upon sustaining the motion,