Surely there should be some limit to this general and sweeeping offer of protection to every citizen who may come under the cognizance of the United States Courts for a violation of this Act of Congress.

It seems to me, that some bill can be framed to meet all the just requirements of duty to our State officials, who, in the discharge of their public functions, render themselves obnoxious to partisan bitterness, while, at the same time, the public money should not be expended in the interest of private individuals, however worthy they may be of our proper sympathy and respect.

## WM. PINKNEY WHYTE.

The question then recurring upon reconsidering the vote by which said bill was passed, as prescribed by the Constitution, Article 2, section 17,

The vote by which said bill had been passed, was reconsidered.

The question then recurring upon the passage of the bill over the veto of the Governor,

The yeas and nays were called as prescribed by the Constitution, section 17, Article 2, and appeared as follows:

## AFFIRMATIVE-None.

## NEGATIVE.

Messrs.		
Colton,	Latchford,	Berry,
Merritt,	Robey,	Freaner,
Henkle,	Thompson,	Grimes,
Nutwell,	Pruitt,	Stake,
Bird,	Merrill,	Clark,
Turner, of Calv't,	Koons,	Watkins,
Lancaster,	Miller,	Fletchall,
Keech,	Nicodemus,	Wier,
Buchanan,	Grafton,	Park,
Matthews,	Riley,	Brace,
Seth,	Dawson,	Vanderford,
Valliant,	C. R. Hamilton,	Galt,
Sudler,	Staylor,	Brown,
Coulbourn,	Gill,	Maclin,
Duer,	Hart,	Stewart, of How'd.
Hodson,	Groh,	Leonard,
Turner, of Cecil,	Fenton,	Glotfelty-52.
Etchison,	-	-

So three-fifths of the members elected to the House not having voted for the bill, it was rejected,

And the veto of the Governor was sustained.