voter rolls because of conflict with the state constitution was rejected by the U.S. Supreme Court in Leser v. Garnett, 258 U.S. 130 (1922).

22From one-third to two-thirds of Maryland voters are estimated to have been potentially disfranchised by the loyalty requirements specified by Section 4 of Article I of the 1864 Constitution of Maryland. For an insight on the impact on Maryland voting see Wm. A. Ross, "Disfranchisement in Maryland (1861-67)," M.H.M., December 1933, Vol. 28, pp. 309-328.

23Premarked ballots were distributed by the political parties and/or candidates. Often these ballots were "colored" or "striped" so that party workers at or near the polls could determine how a person was going to vote. Without the "proper" ballot, voters were sometimes prevented or discouraged from voting by intimidation and threats. A "shingle ballot" is one which is folded in such a manner as to contain additional inserted ballots.

24Laws of Maryland, 1890, Chapter 538, amended by Acts of 1892, Chapter 300.

25See Appendix C, Table 4.

26The time periods are described as (1) 1789-1824; (2) 1828-1856; (3) 1860-1892; (4) 1896-1928; and (5) 1932-1980. See e.g., William N. Chambers and W. Dean Burnham, eds., *The American Party System: Stages of Political Development*, (New York: Oxford University Press, 1975).

27 Partisan behavior prior to 1789 is described in Jackson Turner Main, *Political Parties Before the Constitution*, (Chapel Hill, NC: The University of North Carolina Press, 1973), pp. 212-243; L. Marx Renzulli, Jr., *Maryland, The Federalist Years*, (Cranbury, NJ: Farleigh Dickinson University Press, 1972), pp. 1-49; and Norman K. Risjord, *Chesapeake Politics*, 1781-1800, (New York: Columbia University Press, 1978), pp. 71-250.

28Gary Lawson Browne, Baltimore in the Nation, 1789-1861, (Chapel Hill, NC: The University of North Carolina Press, 1980), pp. 17-50; Frank A. Cassell, "The Structure of Baltimore's Politics in the Age of Jefferson, 1795-1812," in Aubrey C. Land, et al., eds., Law, Society, and Politics in Early Maryland, (Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1977), pp. 277-296.

²⁹A list of the national political conventions is provided in Table 17 in the Appendix. For a commentary on Baltimore as a convenient host city see Eugene H. Roseboom, "Baltimore as a National Nominating Convention City," M.H.M., Fall 1972, Vol. 67, pp. 215-224.

30For a broad view of Maryland during the Depression see Richard Walsh and William Lloyd Fox, eds., Maryland: A History, 1632-1974, (Baltimore: Maryland Historical Society, 1974), pp. 730-759; see also David Lamoreaux with Gerson G. Eisenburg, "Baltimore Views the Great Depression, 1929-33," M.H.M., Fall 1976, Vol. 71, pp. 428-442; and Charles M. Kimberly, "The Depression in Maryland: The Failure of Voluntarism," M.H.M., Summer 1975, Vol. 70, pp. 189-202.

³¹Jeremiah T. Chase was an attorney with mercantile ties and interests whose political change was prompted by his economic fortunes and predicaments.

32Neal A. Books and Eric G. Rockel, A History of Baltimore County, (Towson, MD: Friends of Towson Library, Inc., 1979), p. 414.

33Ella Lonn, The Government of Maryland, (Baltimore: Goucher College, 1921), p. 25.

34The structure and operation of county government in Maryland is outlined in Harry Bard, Maryland, State and Government: Its New Dynamics, (Cambridge, MD: Tidewater Publishers, 1974), and Jean E. Spencer, Contemporary Local Government in Maryland, (College Park, MD: University of Maryland, 1965).

35Maryland Manual, 1983-1984, (Annapolis, MD: Hall of Records Commission, 1983), p. 493 Cox v. Board of County Commissioners of Anne Arundel County, 181 Md. 428, 431, 31 A.2d 179, 182 (1943).