

wharf, by *John Smith* and others, on land which it is certain did not belong to them, it follows, that it must, like all such improvements which a wrong doer puts upon the land of another, become the property of him to whose land it has been affixed. So that this wharf has long since, in fact, become the absolute property of the state to whom the soil upon which it was built most unquestionably belonged.

But it has been urged, that the whole of this strip of land called *Smith's wharf*, is a public wharf, for the use of which the city of Baltimore has, for a long series of years, charged and collected wharfage; and, therefore, that the right of soil in it has been expressly vested in the city by the act allowing the corporation to charge and collect wharfage; (o) because as wharfage was the only benefit which could be derived from this land, the act which gave that sole benefit, virtually and necessarily thereby gave an absolute right to the soil itself. And further, that the granting of a patent would be incompatible with the rights of the public in general, if not with those of the city in particular; and, therefore, it ought not to be allowed to issue, since it could be attended with no good, and would inevitably be used as the means of litigation and strife.

In England the subjects which may be granted by the king are as numerous and as various as the sorts of property, and the kinds of prerogatives held and enjoyed by him; the most of which he may, by a patent under the great seal, grant to an individual. (p) But here no department or branch of our limited government has been entrusted with any such large and uncontrolled power of making grants to individuals. The executive has been prohibited from exercising any such prerogative; and the Legislature have only so much of a discretionary power delegated to them as will enable them to act within their proper sphere for the public good. No

the ground in question is connected with the main land by the bar, which is referred to from the letter N. on the plot. An objection might be made from what is stated in the depositions, and marked on the plot as to the course of the ferry-boat, which goes over the island, if, in that case, it can be so called, or rather, by the intersection of the water, makes two islands of the land.

But the question is taken up on the general principle of its being an island, and according to the civil law; and according to the decree of the late Chancellor, in the case of *Ridgely v. Johnson*, (1 *Bland*, 316, *note*.) it is considered as belonging to the *caveators*, as owners of the land on the nearest side, who appear in the part opposite a part of the island to be bounded by the river.

It is therefore *Adjudged, Ordered, and Decreed*, that the *caveat* be ruled good.

(o) 1827, ch. 162, s. 4; The Wharf Case, ante 361.—(p) *Bac. Abr. tit. Prerogative, F.*