The bill amended so as to state that a defendant had attained his full age, in order that he might be compelled to answer as an adult, 29.

On petition, a subpæna may be issued against one charged in the bill as an absent defendant, who had returned to this state, 29.

A case cannot be set for hearing on the return of depositions taken by consent of only a part of the defendants, 30.

After the day allowed by an order of publication, which had been published for an absent defendant to come in, and on a general replication to the answers of the other defendants, a commission to take evidence may be issued, 31.

An interlocutory decree by default against infant as well as adult defendants.—
Townshend v. Duncan, 47.

doorse by default against a

A decree by default against a defendant, who, on being summoned under an order of revivor, had failed to appear, 47.

Rules concerning the examination of witnesses before the examiner; and the time of publication of depositions under the provincial government, 61, note.

Exceptions to an answer heard and sustained by the Chancellor.—Parker v. Mackall, 63.

An exceptant ordered to pay a fine for the delay on over-ruling his exceptions to the auditor's report.—Woodward v.

Chapman, 71.

Rule as to the time of filing exceptions to an auditor's report, 74, note.

Exceptions to an answer for scandal, impertinence, and insufficiency; the scandal and impertinence expunged, and a better answer filed.—Cheseldine v. Gordon, 80.

No one is a defendant to the suit against whom no process is prayed.—Binney's case, 106.

A misnomer may be waived, but if relied on is fatal, 107.

The hearing cannot be postponed to let in a release to make a witness competent, or to remove any objection to a witness of which the party was notified at the examination.—Winder v. Differderser, 192.

A cross examination no waiver of any objection to a witness, 193.

A decree cannot be opened and the case re-heard but upon good cause shewn. Meluy v. Cooper, 200.

In a creditor's suit the case may be submitted to obtain a decree for sale without having been set for hearing.—Campbell's case, 220; Hammond v. Hammond, 359.

The parol does not demur in a creditor's suit by reason of the infancy of a defendant.—Campbell's case, 224; Hammond v. Hammond, 330, 344, 351; Watkins v. Worthington, 519.

Severa suits, the objects of which are the same, may be consolidated.—Campbell's case, 241; Lakins' case, 398.

Where a publication had passed against

Where a publication had passed against an absent defendant who was one of a plurality of heirs or devisees, it was decreed, that the plaintiff might take out a commission to prove his case subject to a future decree.—Craig v. Buker, 240.

A sale by a trustee may be at once ratified with the consent of the parties.—

Andrews v. Scotton, 641; Arthur v.

The Attorney General, 246.

A party may, as of course, withdraw any document which he himself has voluntarily put upon file, for the purpose of having it authenticated.—Maccubbin v. Matthews, 251.

A commission to take evidence should be executed within a reasonable distance of the residence of the witness, 253.

The sufficiency of a trustee's bond certified by a solicitor.—McMullin v. Burris, 358.

On a return cepi to an attachment the sheriff may be ordered to bring in the body.—Binney's case, 101; Deakins' case, 406.

The course of proceeding against a defendant whose answer, on exceptions, has been held insufficient; or who has contumaciously neglected to answer; or who has, on demurrer or plea, failed to protect himself from answering, as the bill requires.—Buckingham v. Peddicord, 447.

The acts of assembly in relation to proceedings against non-resident, absconding, or contumacious defendants, considered, 447.

In all such cases the bill may be taken pro confesso, or testimony taken, upon which the court pronounces the decree; and if it has no jurisdiction, must dismiss the bill, 447.

How discovery may be had when the bill may be taken pro confesso, 447.

An insufficient answer is as no answer; and therefore, upon such default, the bill may be taken pro confesso and a final decree passed, 447.

A day may be appointed for deciding on an auditor's report.—Norwood v. Norwood, 478.

Exceptions to an auditor's report which are indefinite must be rejected, 481, 482.

No new exceptions can be taken to an auditor's report after those taken have been adjudicated upon, 482.

Where it becomes necessary to have the plaintiff's prochein umi examined as a witness, he may be discharged, and another appointed in his place.—Helms v. Franciscus, 550.

All questions as to parties must be finally