

such had filed the vouchers of his claims; but, from some error or defect in the original decree or other proceedings, no notice had been given to his creditors to file the vouchers of their claims against his estate. And that a copy of the order of the 10th of August, had been served on the trustee *Hebb*, but he had not yet complied with it. Whereupon the petitioner prayed for an attachment against *Hebb*; and that the creditors of the late *William Deakins*, might be notified to file the vouchers of their claims, &c.

22d January, 1830.—BLAND, *Chancellor*.—Ordered, that an attachment issue against *William F. Hebb* as prayed, returnable forthwith. That the creditors of *William Deakins*, deceased, file the vouchers of their claims in the chancery office, on or before the 22d day of June next. And that a copy of this order be inserted in some newspaper, printed at Cumberland, once in each of three successive weeks, before the first day of March next.

---

On the 15th of March, 1830, the trustee made a report, on oath, in which he stated, that the purchaser having refused payment, he had put the notes taken by him for the purchase money into the hands of an attorney, to bring suit thereon; that they were then in his hands for that purpose.

17th March, 1830.—BLAND, *Chancellor*.—The attachment issued against *William F. Hebb*, having been returned attached, it is on motion of the solicitor, for the petitioner *Hoye*, Ordered, that the sheriff of Washington county forthwith bring into court the body of the said *William F. Hebb*, according to the tenor of the said attachment.

---

On the 30th of April, 1830, the trustee *Hebb* made another report, on oath, similar to that filed on the 15th of March. On the 13th of December, 1830, the executors *King* and *Hebb*, filed objections to an allowance of any of the claims now filed, or which might hereafter be filed, &c. Some time after which, the matters in controversy were finally adjusted by an agreement among the parties, and the case so closed.