

And forasmuch as a sale of the three negroes *Alfred, Cuffee, and Eliza*, in the proceedings mentioned, is necessary, it is DECREED, that a sale of said negroes be made, as directed by the decree of the Chancellor, and upon such terms as are prescribed in said decree, or may be prescribed by the Chancellor, in any future order. That the Chancellor from time to time, pass any order in the premises which may be necessary, in order to a sale of said negroes, and distribution between the parties, of the proceeds of sale, after deducting all necessary expenses incurred in making the sale. And that in the account hereafter to be stated, relative to the proceeds of sale of said negroes and distribution thereof, the Chancellor shall allow to the defendant in error, his proportion of the just value of the services of the said three negroes, from the 19th December, 1825, until the plaintiff in error shall deliver up said negroes.

---

KIPP v. HANNA.

A *feme covert* defendant attached for not answering. The bill amended so as to charge, that an infant defendant had attained her full age, that she might be compelled to answer as an adult. Where there is a plurality of defendants, and a commission, with consent of some of them only, has been issued, the testimony so taken cannot be read against those who had not consented to the issuing of the commission.

The rule is, that a voluntary conveyance must be deemed void, as against creditors, where the grantor could not, at the time, have withdrawn the amount from his estate, without hazard to his creditors, or materially lessening their prospects of payment. None but those who were creditors, at the time, can sue to have a voluntary conveyance set aside as fraudulent; but when such a conveyance has been so vacated, then all other creditors may come in for satisfaction, in full, or in due proportion. The holders of property under a fraudulent conveyance, accountable for the rents and profits of it, from the time it was so unjustly withheld from the creditors.

THIS bill was filed on the 26th of February, 1820, by *John Kipp* and *Amos Brown*, against *Alexander B. Hanna* and *Sarah*, his wife, *William Warner*, *Sarah Hanna, Jr.* *Mary Hanna*, *Andrew Hanna*, *John Hanna*, *Robert Hanna*, *Paul Jacquin*, *Andrew Hall*, *Thomas Tyson*, and *Frederick G. L. Burhing*. The bill stated, that the defendant *Alexander*, being entitled to, and in possession of a chattel interest in a house and lot, in the city of *Baltimore*, conveyed it to *John P. Boyreau*, who conveyed it to the defendant