account between the estate of John Rogers and the trustees, applying therein the proceeds of sale, to the payment of the trustees? commission and expenses; the complainants' costs in Baltimore County Court; the costs of this audit, and the fees allowed to H. W. Rogers and H. M. Murray; and the balance of the said proceeds, then remaining, to the payment of part of the complainants? claim allowed. By this account, the complainants' claim, exclusive of the allowance to their solicitors, amounts to \$8657 81 Proceeds of sale applicable to the payment thereof 2750 80 Leaving a balance due the complainants of \$5907 01 as of the day of the trustees' sale. He has also stated an account between Strike and the estate of John Rogers, in which he has charged Strike with the full value of the rents and profits of the property conveyed to him by Rogers, rejecting entirely Strike's claim for advances in payment of taxes, ground-rents, &c. and has also charged him with interest thereon up to the day of the trustees' sale. This account makes Strike indebted in the sum of \$6559 33, with further interest on \$4967 63 from the day of sale; an amount more than sufficient to discharge the balance of the complainants' claim unprovided for by the account between the estate of John Rogers and the trustees.

To this report the defendant, Strike, excepted, 1st, for, that the auditor has rejected entirely the claim of the defendant, Strike.

2d. Because Strike claims the whole proceeds of the said sales of the said property, mentioned in the trustees' report, statement and proceedings, in preference to all the other claims in the said cause; and will contend that he is so entitled.

3d. Because the auditor has charged the defendant, Strike, with the full value of the rents and profits of the property conveyed to him by Rogers, rejecting entirely Strike's claim; and because the said rents are charged higher than is warranted in the proof of the cause.

4th. Because the auditor should have allowed the defendant, Strike, his advances in payment of taxes, ground-rent, and the sum assessed for the extension of Pratt-street; which he has not done.

5th. Because the auditor should have allowed the defendant, Strike, for all permanent and necessary improvements, laid out and expended, and created on said lots; which he has not done.

6th. Because the auditor has charged the defendant, Strike, with interest on the rents and profits of said property to the day of the