But so far from such being the only kind of cases in which a receiver has been appointed, they are in fact of the most rare occurrence. Where the plaintiff was a mortgagee, or a creditor suing in his own right alone, or for himself and other creditors. whose claims might or might not cover the whole amount; (e) or where the object of the bill was to obtain a fair division of the property and to have debts paid; (f) or where the portions to which the contending parties would be respectively entitled was uncertain until a division should be made by the court; or where one tenant in common took the whole rents and profits to the exclusion of his co-tenant; if the merits of the case required it, a receiver has been appointed and directed to take charge of the whole estate. And at the instance of a plaintiff who claimed as a purchaser, such an appointment has been made, even before answer, although it was urged in argument, that a married woman, who claimed a life estate under a post nuptial settlement, would be stripped by it of "her only means of defence and subsistence."(g) It does not appear from any of the cases, that such an objection as this now relied upon, has ever before been made by any one in relation to the appointment of a receiver; and, consequently, it cannot be regarded as of any weight whatever. I shall, therefore, put a receiver upon this estate. But as no person has been nominated by the parties for that office, I must let the selection of a suitable person lay over until I hear from them.

Ordered, that a fit and proper person be appointed as a receiver, as prayed by the complainant's petition, with full power and authority to enter upon and take possession of the messuage, and tenement in the bill of complaint mentioned; and to take care of, rent, or otherwise dispose of the same pending this suit, in such manner as he may deem most advantageous to the parties interested therein, subject to the further order of this court. And also with full power and authority to demand, sue for and recover any rent now due or which may hereafter become due for the same. And for the faithful performance of the trust reposed in such person to be appointed to act under this order, or which may be reposed in him by any future order of this court in the premises,

<sup>(</sup>e) Thomas v. Dawkins, 3 Bro. C. C. 508; Bowersbank v. Collasseau, 3 Ves. 165; Wilkins v. Williams, 3 Ves. 588; Hughes v. Williams, 6 Ves. 459; Bryan v. Cormich, 1 Cox. 422; Dalmer v. Dashwood, 2 Cox. 378.—(f) Skip v. Harwood, 3 Atk. 564 (g) Metcalfe v. Pulvertoft, 1 Ves. & Bea. 180.