SALES BY TRUSTEES .- Continued.

sort to it to vacate or uphold the sale. Farmers Bank vs. Martin & Travers, 224.

2. Where a purchaser knew of an incumbrance on the land, consisting of ground-rent in arrear, and the property was re-sold, he cannot be allowed a credit for such incumbrance paid by him. 1b.

3. The fact of such knowledge on the part of the purchaser may be proved by parol, though the advertisement of sale made no mention of ground-rent in arrear as an incumbrance on the property.

4. When a trustee deviates from the terms of the decree, objections to the satisfications of the sale will be sllowed to prevail, which would be disregarded if urged against a sale in conformity with the decree; and no deviation is more obnoxious to objection than selling at private sale when the decree directs a public sale. Latrobe vs. Hubert, 375.

The trustee is the mere agent of the Court, and if he does not conform to the authority delegated to him, it is for the Court to say whether it will ratify his acts as such agent. B.

6. His instructions are contained in the decree, open to the inspection of all parties, and if he does not follow them, no can dealing with him has a right to complain if the Court should refuse to ratify his acts. Ib.

7. After he has put the property in market agreeably to the terms of the decree, and has failed to get an acceptable bid, he may sell at private sale, and if no objection he made, the Court will, after notice in the usual way, ratify the sale. Ib.

8. Objections merely capricious or arbitrary to such a private sale will not be allowed, but it is open to objections which would not prevail against a public sale. 16.

9. The decree directed a public sale, and after one ineffectual affort to sell at such sale, the trustee sold the property at private sale at \$17 per acre. It was proved that this sale was the result of a misunderstanding between the trustee and the mortgagor, whom the former had consulted and advised with in relation to the sale, and that \$20 per acre could then and now be had for the preperty. Held.

That the sale ought not to be ratified. 16,

10. Inadequacy of price is a stronger objection to a private sale than to a public one, when the decree directs the latter pand though the inadequacy in this case might not be sufficient, per se, to set the sale aside, yet this, added to the misunderstanding between the trustee and the mortgagor. Is sufficient to defeat it. Ib.

11. A decree directed the trustee to give "at least three weeks notice, inserted in some newspaper, &c., "and such other notice as he may think proper, of the time, place, and terms of sale." Upon the day duly appointed for the sale, the weather was inclement, no bidders were present, and there was no attempt to sell, and the trustee advertised the property to be sold four days thereafter, which advertisement appeared but once in the paper. Held,

That the failure to give the notice as prescribed by the decree, was a fatal objection to the validity of the sale. Glenn vs. Westen, 514.

12. A departure from the regulation of the decree in an essential respect, without first attempting to sell in conformity with them, will always prevent a ratification, if objection be made. Ib.