accounts upon which it was founded should, in the particulars specified, be investigated. But it was not intended by the order to place the stock, by which the claim was paid, beyond the reach of recall, if in the further progress of the cause a decree to that effect should be necessary to meet the justice of the case. If the settlement had been overthrown entirely, a general account would have been ordered, wholly irrespective of it, and every item on both sides of the account would have been exposed to investigation, and required to be sustained by proof. But this was not done. It was the opinion of the Court, that the settlement was not procured by fraud; but that, in certain respects, the accounts upon which it was based were erroneous, and therefore, that though the settlement should stand, in so far as it was not impeached, the plaintiff might show it to be wrong in the particulars and to the extent specified in the opinion of the Court.

In the argument of this cause in the Court of Appeals, upon an appeal from the order of November, 1848, the counsel for the complainant appear, by a statement of their points with which I have been furnished, to have objected to that part of the order which declared "the settlement should stand and be established," and to have insisted that the settlement should have been annulled and an immediate re-transfer of the stock decreed. And this, it is argued, shows that in the opinion of the complainant's counsel the order settled the question in regard to the stock. Such, however, is not my view of the point; but conceding the counsel may so have understood the order, it certainly cannot be insisted that the Court is bound by such interpretation of it.

In passing the order referred to, this Court did not intend to adjudicate the question now presented. It was not the design of that order to pass upon the form and character of the final decree, which should be passed in the cause when the accounts between the parties should be taken. And I shall now, therefore, very briefly state my views upon this question.

Cases have been cited to show, that if the shares of an incorporated company are transferred to the corporation, they