

of going beyond the limits of this state; and on the same day, entered a motion, that he be discharged from said writ, upon the ground, that he was a resident of Dorchester county, and this court had no jurisdiction; upon which motion, the following order was passed.

This motion being submitted, and evidence being heard, it is, thereupon, this 13th day of May, 1847, by Baltimore County Court, ordered, that the said motion be, and the same is, hereby overruled. The defendant was then delivered to custody of the warden of the jail of Baltimore city, and immediately, upon the same day, (13th of May, 1847,) filed his answer to the bill, with exceptions to its averments, as follows:

1st. That at the time of filing said bill, and service of the subpoena in this case, and of issuing and executing the writ of *ne exeat*, the defendant was a resident of Dorchester county, and still continues so to be; he therefore insists, that this court has no jurisdiction in the premises, and, that the said writ should be quashed, and the bill dismissed.

2d. He further excepts to the sufficiency of the averments of the bill, in this, that the acts of vicious conduct and cruel treatment, of which said bill complains, are not specified, so that he can answer thereto, or be provided with proof to negative such averments.

Insisting upon these exceptions, the respondent, for answer, admits the marriage and residence in Dorchester county, as charged in the bill, but denies that he has ever removed to the city of Baltimore, and avers that he has no intention so to do, and that he is not about to leave the state of Maryland, or to depart beyond the jurisdiction of her courts, and that he has no such intention or purpose. He believes, and therefore charges, that the complainant has been guilty of conjugal infidelity to him, and does not admit the statement of the bill, that she has been a faithful wife. He denies the vicious conduct and cruel treatment charged in said bill, and that he has ever struck or cruelly used her. That complainant and respondent came to Baltimore about the 19th of December last, and there separated, because he disliked her, and believed her unworthy. That