and where it is clear, that the creditor can sustain no loss, nor be in any way delayed, or have his claim subjected to any additional

\$3,161.53, with interest from the 6th February, 1822, to the 27th March, 1839, \$3,235.83; making \$6,397.36—which is greater than the sums assessed upon his portion of the devise and Edward Gibson's. The auditor has, in apportioning the complainant's debts amongst the devisees, included Lloyd. although he appears to have paid more than his proper proportion; because that is no defence against a creditor. The creditor, it is conceived, has also a right to require this assessment to be made as against the bank, because there does not appear to be any reason for allowing the bank, by its agreement with the owners of any part of the mortgaged property to alter the situation, or change the rights of any other creditor. In respect to the complainant's claim, therefore, the property has been charged as if the agreement for the sale of Lloyd's portion had not been assented to by them. Although the auditor has excluded Blake's heirs, and Lloyd, from contribution towards the payment of the complainant's claim, the former being already excluded, and the bank being substituted in the place of the latter, as will be seen hereafter, they have been charged with their proper proportion of costs, inasmuch as the decree went against them in common with the other devisees: and a payment of one creditor is no just defence against the claim of another; unless the assets have been consumed. But in giving the bank the substitution above mentioned, the other devisees pay only to the extent of the benefit they have received from the payment made by the bank, so as to leave the bank to stand in the same situation in which the complainant would have stood, if he were seeking from them the paymant of his claim. If then, the bank seeks from the other devisees payment of the portions of that debt paid by Edward Gibson's interest, the following will be the result: Loss to the bank as above, \$3,045.50; of which Mrs. Tilton would repay \$176.07; Mrs. Reynold's, \$807.89; Bennett's heirs, \$155.86; and Lloyd's heirs for proportion of costs, \$120.77; amounting to \$1,260.50; leaving an eventual loss of \$1.785.21.

The auditor now proceeds to distribute the funds in the hands of the trustee amongst the several parties according to the views heretofore presented in his report; and in doing so will assess upon the property sold, all the commissions and expenses of the sale; and the other costs upon all the property; except Mrs. Tilton's interest and the devise to Clara Tilton. He will then assign all of Mrs. Tilton's interest that may remain, after discharging its appropriate liabilities, to the complainant, in payment of so much of his claim, leaving to her a remedy against the other devisees. He will then proceed to shew in what proportions the devisees ought to pay the balance of the complainant's debt, and repay Mrs. Tilton. In apportioning the debt of the complainant amongst the devisees, the only motive of the auditor is to shew by what payments the other devisees may avoid a sale; and, if any one should pay the whole, what his equity against the others would be.

Credit for the whole amount of sales as per auditor's report, filed on the 8th of May, 1840, \$15,637.36. Against which debit: Commissions and expenses of trustee, \$728.47; costs in this Court and the Court of Appeals, \$79.17; additional costs; register's fees, \$23.07; tax, 50 cents; depositions, \$45.00; and auditor's fees, \$42.00; making \$110.57; amounting altogether for commissions, costs and expenses, to \$1,718.21. To the Farmers Bank for their mortgage debt, \$13,739.55; deduct losses above, \$3,045.55; then add, to be repaid by Mrs. Tilton, \$176.07; leaving due to the bank the sum of \$10.869.82. To James Tilton, for his life estate in Mrs. Tilton's equity as