that the order of the 11th of April last might be rescinded,

BLAND, C., 28th June, 1826.—Ordered that the matter of the said petition be taken up, considered and decided upon and the order of the 11th of April last, be rescinded, on the 26th day of July next, unless good cause to the contrary be then shewn: provided, that a copy of this order, together with a copy of the said petition, be served on the said James Boarman on or before the 12th day of July next. And it is further ordered, that the order of the 11th of April last be and the same is hereby suspended until further order.

James Boarman put in his answer, on oath, in which he again urged, that the trustee Ignatius Manning, was a non-resident, without denying, that the fact had been made known to the Chancellor when the appointment was made, as was alleged; that this respondent's character was good; that the trustee Ignatius Manning had neglected his duty, &c. Whereupon this respondent prayed, that the order of the 28th of June last, might be revoked; that the trustee Ignatius Manning might be ordered to render an account, &c.

After which, James Boarman, by his petition, stated, that the lunatic Cornelius Boarman was dead; and that he had obtained letters of administration upon his estate. Whereupon he prayed, that the trustee Ignatius Manning might be ordered to render a full account; and that the petitioner might have such other relief as was suited to the nature of his case.

BLAND, C., 6th February, 1829.—Ordered, that the said Ignatius Manning render a full and true account on oath, of all his proceedings as trustee of the late Cornelius Boarman, as prayed, on the 12th day of March next, or show good cause to the contrary: provided, that a copy of this order, together with a copy of the said petition, be served on the said Manning on or before the second day of March next.

This order not having been served in time, it was, on motion renewed, the time of service enlarged, and the first of June then next appointed as the day to show cause.

\*The trustee Ignatius Manning put in his answer on oath, as required, in which he stated, that he had delivered over to the administrator of the late lunatic, all his personal estate, except the one-third part of the sum of £353 7s. 0d. which would be deducted from the proportionate part of the said lunatic's estate, due to this respondent as one of his heirs, &c.