Soon after which, Larkin Shipley died, and his will was, on the 18th of April, 1822, proved before the Orphans' Court of Anne Arundel County, and letters testamentary thereupon granted to the two executors therein named, who took upon themselves the execution of the trust therein mentioned. The bill further states that the legatee and plaintiff Ann had, since the death of the testator, intermarried with the plaintiff Samuel; that the defendants, as trustees, had paid a part of the interest on the plaintiff Ann's legacy to her before her marriage, and a small sum since that time: but not the whole of what was due; and no provision had been made for the punctual payment of the said interest, whereby she has sustained considerable inconvenience; that the defendant had not put out the said sum of \$7,000, or any part of it, at interest on good security; but that the same remained in their hands, or in the hands of one of them, which neglect and omission were contrary to the directions of the will, and put at great hazard the principal * sum, and consequently the

q11 great hazard the principal sum, and consequently the interest thereon. Whereupon, the bill prayed that the defendants might be required to give a full statement of the condition of the said \$7,000; and what remained due of the interest thereon; that they be directed to pay the balance thereof, and especially, that they be required to put out at interest the said sum of \$7,000, on good security, so that the interest thereof might be paid punctually, and at short and convenient periods, to the plaintiffs.

On the 26th of March, 1824, the defendant Stockett put in his answer, in which the will and the marriage of the plaintiffs, as set forth in the bill, were admitted. He exhibited with his answer an extract from the inventory returned by the executors; and in reference thereto, described the then situation of the testator's estate, which, he said, the executors were collecting and settling with as little delay as practicable; that sundry payments had been made to the plaintiffs, whereby it appeared that they had received about two hundred and eighty dollars over and above what they were strictly entitled to; and, in conclusion, this defendant says, that he submits that a decree may pass directing the executors to report, from time to time, on the state of the property; and to bring in the money arising from the debts as it may be received; and that the same be invested as the Court may direct.

After which the defendant Stockett, by his petition, stated that he had received a very large sum of money applicable to the trusts of the will; and thereupon prayed to be authorized and directed to invest the same in some safe and productive fund, &c.

BLAND, C., 31st August, 1825.—Ordered that Richard G. Stockett, the petitioner, be, and he is hereby permitted and directed, to deposit any sum of money now in his hands, or which may hereafter