

the trustee of the Court always has a due proportion of interest awarded to him on the amount allowed to him as a commission by the order confirming the auditor's report. *Brown v. Wallace*, 29th February, 1816, *post*.

opinion that the auditor has done right in rejecting claims Nos. 11, 12, 13, 14, and 16. But as there is a probability, that the said claims, or some of them, may be hereafter established, he will not absolutely dismiss them without notice to the claimants to produce further vouchers.

Ordered, therefore, that the Chancellor will, on application of any person concerned, proceed immediately to decide on any of the following claims against the estate of the said Alexander Frazier, viz. William Campbell's, Robert Ward's executor, Walter Roe's, Richard Ward's and Robert McCoy's: provided it shall be proved to his satisfaction, if a copy of this order hath been served on the claimant fifteen days before such application.

Ordered, further, that of the money to arise from the sale of the said Frazier's real estate, there be paid, agreeably to the auditor's statement, as follows:

To the trustees, for commissions.....	£90	0s.	0d.
For costs of suit as taxed.....	20	8	6
To the auditor, for fees.....	8	15	0
John A. Frazier's representatives.....	1,405	12	3
James Pattison's representative.....	1,067	19	5½
Richard Frazier.....	17	8	0
George Mann's representative.....	34	19	3
Benjamin Harwood.....	31	18	3
Ditto.....	91	16	6½
Ditto.....	3	8	7
William Sinclair.....	20	3	8
Daniel Ross.....	9	7	3
Wallace & Muir.....	5	7	6½
James.....	34	5	8
James Murray.....	2	1	0

Ordered, further, for the accommodation of all parties concerned, that the receipt in writing of any person, entitled as aforesaid, filed in this Court for so much money as is due to the said person, shall be admitted, and considered as so much money brought into Court, agreeably to the directions of the decree in this cause: provided the said receipt be filed by the trustees, or either of them.

N. B.—It is not the Chancellor's meaning, that the whole of this order be served on those claimants whose claims are doubtful. It will be sufficient to serve only the clause relating immediately to them, and the preceding part. The Chancellor has been often embarrassed, and great delay and trouble in the settlement of cases like the present, has resulted from the neglect of claimants to exhibit, in the first instance, proper vouchers; and from his own unwillingness to reject claims which the parties probably have it in their power to establish, and from the obvious impossibility of his distinguishing, in the beginning, between inattention, ignorance, and sheer speculation. The present case has been long delayed on account of such claimants. Should any of the aforesaid doubtful claims be finally rejected, there will be another dividend to be struck. That a final settlement may be had as soon as possible, he recommends the immediate service of the order as aforesaid.—MS.