But they still retain the opinion, that injurious as may be the consequences of this decision to the petitioner, yet the mischief of special legislation to interrupt the regular operation of the course of judicial proceeding, and the assumption of powers which by the Constitution have been declared to belong exclusively to an independent department, is of much greater concern to the community. Such a precedent would open the door to the introduction of a class of cases not more to be dreaded by the number, than by the difficulty of distinguishing their various grades. From a state of perfect certainty, through all the intermediate stages of conviction, to a state of perfect doubt, as to the correctness of the judicial decision which shall become the subject of relief, the Legislature may expect to find itself called on to execute this portion of its newly assumed power.

* "The committee, in all the views in which they have been able to consider this subject, find themselves compelled to adopt the conclusion, that the prayer of the petitioner ought not to be granted. They therefore recommend the adoption of the following resolution:

"Resolved, That the petitioner have leave to withdraw his petition."

"Mr. Claude moved to strike out the report, and the question was put and determined in the negative. The question was then put, Will the Senate concur in the report and assent to the resolution? Determined in the affirmative." The Chancellor's Case, post.

Bland, C., 2d May, 1825.—In this case the defendant, Hugh Thompson, by his counsel, on the 11th of April last, moved the Court to grant an appeal from its order of the 12th of February last, and thereupon filed and offered an appeal bond for the approbation of the Chancellor. The motion was permitted to lay over until the plaintiffs could be heard; after which their counsel appeared, and asked to be allowed further time to reply, in writing, to the defendant's motion, which was granted; and on the 28th of the last month, a written argument, on the part of the plaintiffs, in opposition to the motion, was accordingly submitted to the Chancellor. The parties having been thus heard, the motion has been deliberately and maturely considered.

The Chancellor took some pains, after a very careful research into all the authorities within his reach, to explain the reasons and grounds on which he founded the order of the 12th of February last. The greater part of the debatable ground, occupied in the discussion of the motion for that order, was as to its foundation,—as to the kind of admissions, or state of things which would warrant its being made. The Court was, therefore, explicit upon that subject. But, whether such an order was interlocutory or final—