It is a pity that we cannot know exactly when these reflections of the country parson were set down, whether they were made public - perhaps in his Sunday sermons - and how much influence they exerted. Among his books, we know was later one entitled "Every Man His Own Lawyer"; and of his dislike for the specialists of that profession he leaves us little doubt. We are not surprised, then, to find that his thoughts are not collected so as to utilize their full force, as is the case in Dulany's addresses; or that he is not so ready with precedents and cases as the professional lawyer. More striking than these differences, however, is the similar influence of the natural rights ideas, which is as distinctly visible in Eversfield's writings as in Dulany's. In fact, in the passage last quoted on the Common Law, he states boldly the doctrine of consent which Dulanv had brought in more as an hypothesis than as a fundamental argument.

24 Ibid. p. 322.

Eversfield Volume, p. 321.