

John Kellam vs.
Henry Hudson

Somerset County in Henry Hudson June was attached to
answer unto John Kellam of a plea of trespass upon the Case
and whereupon the said John by Color Dunt his Attorney saith
that the said Henry the 10th day of May in the year of our Lord 1690
at Botombe within the Jurisdiction of this Court, a Certain piece of Land of belonging to him the said
John the said Henry did enter into, and severall trees did fell and cut, and a Certain path way leading
to the land of him the said John did stopp up and made impassable, and other harms to him did, where
upon the said John saith his works and hatz damages to the value of 1600 Pound of tobacco and the
of his bringing his suit vs. Dunt. Blogs vs. { John: Dunt
King: Dunt }

It goes out the Jurisdiction of our Court and where he is to the date of assembly and
your worship's Commission. Comod: Sings vs.

The Court having considered the aforesd proceedings (by pertaining to Land) do find
that the action brought agt the sd Hudson is not in their power to try & determine
on Sub

Ordered that no person shall take up any paper or writing from of the Courts table, that
doth any way pertain to the Court, after once given into the Courts Custody, for upon the
twentieth day of February last past, being upon a stone tryall against W. Waller: Some
of this County in Order to whizz sd tryall the sd Court's produced two depositions to prove
the sd Laws Controvers, whizz sd Depositions was the sd 12th day read in Court; And this day
(viz) the eleaventh of Martz following the sd Court's being upon the same aforesd tryall
agt the sd Laws; Ordered the Court to produce the aforesd two depositions, the sd Court of
the Court not finding them upon Record, at that time, for want of whizz the sd Court's
tryall (with some other reasons) was suspended. bic: Depos. fo: 75

This Court adjourns for half an hour.
After whizz the sd W. Francis Court's with W. Samuel: Hopkin and Cap. John King
repairs into Court, the sd Court's being dissatisfied about the sd two depositions. the
Court told the sd Court's, that he was perswaded, that W. Color: Dunt had taken them
clandestinely away the last Court, and that if the sd Dunt's bagg was searched, they might
be found therein; the sd Dunt being in presence it was by the aforesd Justices required
that he would examine his papers in his bag. but the sd Dunt affirmed that he had no
such depositions, for he was certain he had given them to the Court, yet then upon forced
request did search his sd bag (in whizz) was found the two sd depositions, whizz the sd Dunt
forseall times offered to make Oath that he had them not; the Court then being called the
sd Dunt was then Court's deputed, requested their works that an Order might be made
that no person whatever should take up any papers from of the Courts table vs. Dunt