

Then this following account was produced. ⁽⁷¹⁾ March 11th 1696
 M. John. Topwell. Esq. to Mr. John. Topwell. 1681.

Item 16	itt to one pottle of y ^e Sugar and one pint	017
	itt to 3: galls of Ale. ---	096
17	itt to 3: quarts of drams brand. ---	075
	itt to 3: galls of Ale & one pottle of y ^e Sugar & Sugar. ---	110
	itt to 2: Bowls of Gumme ---	200
	itt to 1: gall of Ale & Sugar. ---	036
18	itt to 1/2 one pint of Brandy & Sugar. ---	012
	itt to 1: gall of Ale & Sugar. ---	036
16	itt to 5: galls of Ale one pint of Brandy/brand. ---	210
	itt to 1/2 one pint of drams & Sugar One pint of Salt. ---	037
	itt to 2: matrolls & five bums & one pottle of Ale. ---	041
17	itt to 1/2 one pint of drams & Sugar. ---	012
	itt to 5: pottles of Ale one Matroll one bumm ---	093
	itt to 1: pottle of y ^e Sugar & Sugar. ---	014
18	itt to 1: pint & half of drams brand. ---	036
	itt to 8: galls one quart of Ale. ---	264
16	itt to 2: pottles of y ^e Sugar & Sugar 1: pint Brandy ---	058
	itt to 1: pottle of Brandy & one gall. y ^e Sugar & Sugar ---	040
	itt to 1: quart of Gumme. ---	025

Errors Excepted per me. 1412.

Thomas. Widdleton.

Thomas. Widdleton the subscriber of the above account made Oath in Open Court of Somerset County
 Sep^r Court 8th 1686. that the same was a just and true acc. and that he never rec^d any
 Satisfaction for the same. Test. John. Washbourn. Esq. Cur. Cur. Account.

The whole proceedings being read and viewed, being solely left to the judgment of this Court
 who having perused the papers do unanimously resolve that Arthur Robbins never signed
 that paper bearing date the 20th of Feb^r. 1681, and given under his hand the 4th day of y^e 1696.
 therefore the Court not taking it to be the hand writing of sd Robbins. do Order
 a Non Suit agt the p^t. and and and and and

James. Barry. Bel^l } Somerset County sh^r Maryland. John. Rowell of this County was attached to answer unto
 agt } James. Barry in an action of the C^{ts}. whereupon the aforesd James Barry by his Attorney
 John. Rowell. Esq. } Edward. Jones saith that the said John. Rowell in the year 1687. and in 88. and the year
 1689. the said John. Rowell indebted unto the said James forty nine good Slaughter
 as doth appear by account, and here in Court produced, where said forty nine Slaughter the sd
 John. did promise and assume to pay to the said James in year 1688 but the sd John