

It was decided in the affirmative.

The question then recurring upon the adoption of the amendment,

It was rejected.

The Speaker decided that the amendment before the House having been disposed of by the previous question, under House Rule 22, the bill itself, being the main question, or such section thereof as was under consideration, was now open for amendment.

Mr. Stone appealed from the decision of the Chair, and made the following point to sustain the appeal :

“That this House having sustained the previous question on the bill and pending amendments, it will bring the House to a direct vote on the bill itself.”

The question now being,

“Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?”

It was decided in the affirmative, and

The decision of the Chair was sustained.

The second reading of the bill was then proceeded with.

Mr. Banks submitted the following amendment :

“Provided, however, that nothing in this section shall apply to or effect any party or parties who may advance money for the purpose of construction.”

Which was rejected.

Mr. Henkle submitted the following amendment :

Strike out from “unless” to “mortgage” inclusive, and insert “to secure the payment of such future advances, unless the time and amount of such advances shall be entered on the record of said mortgage, at the foot thereof, in the form of an acknowledgment, to be signed and sworn to by the mortgager and mortgagee before a Justice of the Peace; and it shall be the duty of the Recording Clerk to leave space in the record books, at the foot of such mortgage, for the entry of such acknowledgments, and to all certified copies of such deeds there shall be annexed copies of such acknowledgments of future advances.”

Which was read.

The question recurring upon the adoption of the amendment,