

This bill in its provisions is at war with the spirit of Article IV., section 33, of the Constitution, whereby the right of parties in Baltimore City, to have motions for new trial in cases tried in any of the Courts of that city, and motions in arrest of judgments, heard before the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City is denied, for while the Constitution gives to that Bench jurisdiction in such cases, this bill gives exclusive jurisdiction to the Courts in which the cases were originally tried. The Act of 1870, chapter 177, in relation to civil causes, did not meet my approval, and the present bill, which includes criminal cases, has less in it to commend it to my judgment. It is impossible at this late period of the session to amplify my objections to this bill, which is respectfully returned, that it may secure a more careful consideration by the General Assembly.

WM. PINKNEY WHYTE.

Which was read.

The vote by which the bill therein named was passed was reconsidered.

The question then recurring upon the passage of the bill in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution, Article II., section 17.

The question being, "shall this bill pass the objections of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?"

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows :

AFFIRMATIVE—None.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.		
Gorman, Speaker,	Groome,	Colton, of B. city,
Colton, of St. M's,	Sasscer,	Chaisty,
Wilmer,	Bowie,	Harig,
Hurttt,	Eareckson,	McColgan,
Duvall,	Phillips,	Markland,
Bond,	Rowe,	Griswold,
Sparrow,	Delaplane,	Young, of Wash.,
Chapman,	Miller,	Ardinger,
Shipley,	Routzahn,	Newcomer,
Turner,	Riley,	Whitson,
Foard, of B. co.,	Baldwin,	Hilton
Litzinger,	Streett,	Riggs,
Spencer,	Hardcastle,	Clark, of Mont.,
Banks,	McCosker,	Coles,
Butler,	Hamilton, T. H.,	Robinette,
Goldsborough,	Cooper,	Steele,
Roach,	Hamilton, C. R.,	Polk,