

The bill was then read a first time.

The hour having arrived for taking up the order of the day, the House proceeded to the consideration of the unfinished business of yesterday, being

The bill entitled an Act to repeal section 3, of Article 95 of the Code of Public General Laws, entitled "Usury," and to re-enact the same with amendments, and to add an additional section to be section 6 of said Article.

The question recurring upon the amendment submitted by Mr. Meekins.

AMENDMENT PROPOSED.

At the end of section 6, add: that nothing contained in this Act shall apply to the several counties of this State.

Which was read.

The question then recurring upon the adoption of the amendment,

On motion of Mr. Meekins,

The yeas and nays were ordered and appeared, as follows :

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Richards,	Garey,
Martin,	Dennis,	Blake,
Wells,	Purnell,	Wiley,
Lankford,	Thomas, of Fred.,	Watkins,
Meekins,	Bowlus,	Standish,
Touchstone,	McCreery,	Myers,
Owens,	White,	Gordy—20.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.	Woolford,	Kirk,
Latrobe, Speaker,	Biddle,	Collins,
Loker,	Marbury,	Markland,
Beck,	Duvall,	Marshall,
Wilmer,	Thomas, of Q. A.,	Hoblitzell,
Kilbourn,	Brown,	Neill,
Owings,	Ritter,	Welty,
Mitchell,	Ady,	Sword,
Carroll,	Hopkins,	Hilton,
Cameron,	Sanner,	Jordan,
Gatch,	Hamilton,	Winters,
Choate,	Wilson, of B. city,	Merrick,
Turner,	McLane,	Gorman,
Hardcastle, of Tal.,	Colton,	Crawford—42.
Harrington,		

So the amendment was rejected.