

returned and reported that he had notified all the absent members now in the city to give their attendance, and to bring them before the Bar of the House.

On motion of Mr. Mullin,

All further proceedings under the call were dispensed with.

The question then recurring upon the adoption of the substitute for the unfavorable report of the majority of the committee,

Mr. Wentz moved the previous question, which was sustained.

The question then being,

“ Shall the previous question be now put ? ”

It was determined in the affirmative.

The question then recurring upon the adoption of the substitute for the unfavorable report of the majority of the committee.

Mr. Devecmon demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows :

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Nelson,	Markland,
Iglehart,	Vandiver,	Marshall,
Nicolai,	Hubbard,	Conway,
Slingsluff,	Wentz,	Offutt,
Montague,	Robb,	Conley,
Holmes,	Morse,	Kean,
Corbin,	Blake,	Devecmon,
Percy,	Smith, of B. city,	Trimble,
Stewart, of Dor.,	Mullin,	Hall,
Richards,	Chaisty,	Davis, of Carroll,
Wenner,	Harig,	Clark—32.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.	Biddison,	Maynard,
Stewart, Speaker,	Rose,	Silver,
Loker,	Lowe,	Brown,
Coad,	Davis, of Cecil,	McElroy,
Boyer,	Touchstone,	Rohrer,
Hammond,	Williams,	Seibert,
Deale,	Sudler,	Riggs,
Bond, of Calvert,	Legg,	Jordan,
Dalrymple,	Holloway,	Harden,
Mitchell,	Smith, of Wor.,	Fooks—29.

So the substitute was adopted.

The bill was then read a first time.