amendments and proposed compromise figures. The result was an increase of about half the amount recommended by the House. The Senate passed the conference committee version 29 to 0.58 The House passed it 114 to 059.

SB 307 This bill dealt with fees for civil marriages. This seemingly innocuous subject was a source of dissension. Introduced by Senator Malkus, the bill breezed through the Senate 29 to 0.60 Arriving in the House twelve days before the end of the session, the bill was amended to give Garrett County a special provision. A rather large portion of the marriage fees were to be paid over to the Garrett County Historical Society. The bill then passed the House 113 to 0.61 The Senate refused to concur in this amendment and a conference committee was appointed. The conference committee on the day before the session ended, recommended that the Senate amendments be adopted.62 The bill then passed the Senate and House unanimously.63

SB 308 This bill pertained to the salaries of the clerks of courts. The controversy surrounded the amount—the House said \$15,000, and the Senate said \$17,000. The conference committee decided that the Senate figure should stand, and that the salaries should be not less than \$12,000 nor more than \$17,000.64 The Senate then passed the bill as amended by the conference committee, 28 to 0,65 and the House passed it 104 to 6.66

^{58 2} MD. S. JOUR. 1459 (1965). 59 2 MD. H. DEL. JOUR. 2037 (1965). 60 1 MD. S. JOUR. 885 (1965). 61 2 MD. H. DEL. JOUR. 1998 (1965). 62 2 MD. S. JOUR. 1604 (1965); 2 MD. H. DEL. JOUR. 2116 (1965).

^{63 2} Md. S. Jour. 1605 (1965); 2 Md. H. DEL. JOUR 2117 (1965).

^{64 2} Md. S. Jour. 2035 (1965); 2 Md. H. DEL. JOUR. 1459 (1965).

^{65 2} Mp. S. Jour. 1460 (1965)

^{66 2} Mp. H. Del. Jour. 2036 (1965).