Art. 21. That in all criminal prosecutions, every man hath Criminal a right to be informed of the accusation against him; to have prosecutions; ina copy of the Indictment, or Charge in due time (if required) to prepare for his defence; to be allowed counsel; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have process for Counsel and his witnesses; to examine the witnesses for and against him on oath; and to a speedy trial by an impartial jury, without Trial by jury. whose unanimous consent he ought not to be found guilty.

Ford vs. State, 12 Md., 514. Davis vs. State, 39 Md., 355. State vs. Glenn, 54 Md., 572. John vs. State, 55 Md., 350. Danner vs. State, 89 Md., 225. Lancaster vs. State, 90 Md., 213. Guy vs. State, 96 Md., 694.

That no man ought to be compelled to give evi- Evidence dence against himself in a criminal case.

Day vs. State, 7 Gill, 321. Broadbent vs. State, 7 Md., 416. Blum vs. State, 94 Md., 381-2.

That no man ought to be taken or imprisoned or disseized of his freehold, liberties or privileges, or outlawed, Freemen not or exiled, or in any manner destroyed, or deprived of his life, to be imprisliberty or property, but by the judgment of his peers, or by the Law of the Land.

Wright vs. Wright, 2 Md., 429. Mayor, &c., Baltimore vs. Horn, 25 Md., 206. Davis vs. Helbig, 27 Md., 462. Roth vs. House of Refuge, 31 Md., 329. Grove vs. Todd, 41 Md., 633. Singer vs. State, 72 Md., 464. Ulman vs. M. & C. C. Baltimore, 72 Md., 587-609. Scharf vs. Tasker, 73 Md., 378. Danner vs. State, 89 Md., 225. Sprigg vs. Garrett Park, 89 Md., 406. State vs. Broadhurst, 89 Md., 565. State vs. Knowder, 90 Md., 653. Lancaster vs. State, 90 Md., 213. Lurman vs. Hitchens, 90 Md., 17. Board of Police, Balto. City, vs. Wagner, 93 Md., 182. Wagner vs. Upshur, 95 Md., 519. Savings Bank vs. Wicks, 103 Md. State vs. Gurry, 121 Md.

- Art. 24. That slavery shall not be re-established in this State: but, having been abolished, under the policy and authority of the United States, compensation, in consideration thereof, is due from the United States.
- That excessive bail ought not to be required, nor Bail and fines. excessive fines imposed, nor cruel or unusual punishment inflicted by the Courts of Law.

Mitchell vs. State, 82 Md., 527, 532.

Art. 26. That all warrants, without oath or affirmation, to Search warsearch suspected places, or to seize any person or property, rants. are grievous and oppressive; and all general warrants to search suspected places, or to apprehend suspected persons. without naming or describing the place, or the person in special, are illegal, and ought not to be granted.

Blum vs. State, 94 Md., 382.