

Appropriations.

Contingent fund.

Financial statement to be published with laws.

SEC. 32. No money shall be drawn from the Treasury of the State by any order or resolution, nor except in accordance with an appropriation by law; and every such law shall distinctly specify the sum appropriated and object to which it shall be applied; provided, that nothing herein contained shall prevent the General Assembly from placing a contingent fund at the disposal of the Executive, who shall report to the General Assembly at each session the amount expended, and the purposes to which it was applied. An accurate statement of the receipts and expenditures of the public money shall be attached to and published with the laws after each regular session of the General Assembly.

Thomas vs. Owens, 4 Md., 189. McPherson vs. Leonard, 29 Md., 377.

Special laws prohibited.

SEC. 33. The General Assembly shall not pass local or special laws in any of the following enumerated cases, viz.: For extending the time for the collection of taxes, granting divorces, changing the name of any person, providing for the sale of real estate belonging to minors or other persons laboring under legal disabilities, by executors, administrators, guardians or trustees, giving effect to informal or invalid deeds or wills, refunding money paid into the State Treasury, or releasing persons from their debts or obligations to the State, unless recommended by the Governor or officers of the Treasury Department. And the General Assembly shall pass no special law for any case for which provision has been made by an existing general law. The General Assembly, at its first session after the adoption of this Constitution, shall pass general laws providing for the cases enumerated in this section which are not already adequately provided for, and for all other cases where a general law can be made applicable.

Whittington vs. Polk, 1 H. & J., 236. Horsey vs. State, 3 H. & J., 2. Gover vs. Hall, Exr., 3 H. & J., 43. Partridge vs. Dorsey, 3 H. & J., 302. Crane vs. Meginnis, 1 G. & J., 463. Dulany vs. Tilghman, 6 G. & J., 46. Norris vs. Trustees of the Abingdon Academy, 7 G. & J., 7. Barrett vs. Oliver, 7 G. & J., 191. Lawrence vs. Hicks, 8 G. & J., 386. The Regents of the University of Maryland vs. Williams, 9 G. & J., 365. Dorsey vs. Gilbert, 11 G. & J., 87. Cromwell vs. State, 12 G. & J., 257. Prout vs. Berry, 12 G. & J., 286. State vs. B. & O. R. R. Co., 12 G. & J., 400. Campbell's Case, 2 Bl., 209. Wright vs. Wright, 2 Md., 429. Rock Hill College vs. Jones, 47 Md., 16. Pumphrey vs. Mayor, &c., of Balto., 47 Md., 145. O'Brian & Co. vs. Co. Commrs. of Baltimore Co., 51 Md., 15. Co. Commrs. of Prince George's Co. vs. Co. Commrs. of Laurel, 51 Md., 457. Montague vs. State, 54 Md., 481. Hodges vs. Balto. Passenger Railway Co., 58 Md., 603. Lankford vs. Commrs. Somerset Co., 73 Md., 105. Gans vs. Carter, 77 Md., 1. Revell vs. Mayor, &c., of Annapolis, 81 Md., 1. Hamilton vs. Carroll, 82 Md., 326. Mealy vs. Hagerstown, 92 Md., 745. Herbert vs. Balto. Co., 97 Md., 634. Baltimore City vs. Allegany County, 99 Md., 1.